



# MarLIN

## Marine Information Network

Information on the species and habitats around the coasts and sea of the British Isles

# *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment

MarLIN – Marine Life Information Network  
Marine Evidence-based Sensitivity Assessment (MarESA) Review

Thomas Stamp and Dr Keith Hiscock

2015-11-30

A report from:

The Marine Life Information Network, Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom.

**Please note.** This MarESA report is a dated version of the online review. Please refer to the website for the most up-to-date version [<https://www.marlin.ac.uk/habitats/detail/58>]. All terms and the MarESA methodology are outlined on the website (<https://www.marlin.ac.uk>)

This review can be cited as:

Stamp, T.E. & Hiscock, K. 2015. [*Saccharina latissima*] and [*Chorda filum*] on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment. In Tyler-Walters H. and Hiscock K. (eds) *Marine Life Information Network: Biology and Sensitivity Key Information Reviews*, [on-line]. Plymouth: Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom. DOI <https://dx.doi.org/10.17031/marlinhab.58.1>




The information (TEXT ONLY) provided by the Marine Life Information Network (MarLIN) is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 2.0 UK: England & Wales License. Note that images and other media featured on this page are each governed by their own terms and conditions and they may or may not be available for reuse. Permissions beyond the scope of this license are available [here](#). Based on a work at [www.marlin.ac.uk](http://www.marlin.ac.uk)

(page left blank)



*Laminaria saccharina* and *Chorda filum* on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment  
 Photographer: Keith Hiscock  
 Copyright: Dr Keith Hiscock



Researched by Thomas Stamp and Dr Keith Hiscock

Refereed by This information is not refereed.

## Summary

### ☰ UK and Ireland classification

EUNIS 2008 A5.522

*Laminaria saccharina* and *Chorda filum* on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment

JNCC 2015 SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatCho

*Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment

JNCC 2004 SS.SMp.KSwSS.LsacCho

*Laminaria saccharina* and *Chorda filum* on sheltered upper infralittoral muddy sediment

1997 Biotope SS.IMX.KSwMx.LsacX

*Laminaria saccharina*, *Chorda filum* and filamentous red seaweeds on sheltered infralittoral sediment

### 🔍 Description

Shallow kelp community found on sandy mud and gravelly sandy mud, in sheltered or extremely sheltered conditions, with very weak tidal currents. The community is characterized by a

reasonable covering of *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum*. Beneath the kelp canopy, *Ulva lactuca* is often frequent and some filamentous and foliose red algae may be present, along with filamentous brown ectocarpoid algae although in much lower abundance than in the SlatR biotopes. At the sediment surface ubiquitous fauna such as *Asterias rubens*, crabs such as *Pagurus bernhardus*, *Carcinus maenas*, and the gastropod *Gibbula cineraria* may be visible and in some areas *Sabella pavonina* may be present. Given the nature of the sediment, it is likely that a wide range of infaunal bivalves and polychaetes are present including *Arenicola marina*, *Mediomastus fragilis* and *Anaitides mucosa*. In more tidewept areas with coarser and generally less muddy sediments Smp.SlatCho may be replaced by one of the sub biotopes of Smp.SlatR. (Information taken from Connor *et al.*, 2004).

### ↓ Depth range

0-5 m, 5-10 m

### 🏛️ Additional information

-

### ✓ Listed By

- none -

### 🔗 Further information sources

Search on:



## Habitat review

### Ecology

#### Ecological and functional relationships

The species occurring in this biotope appear to be largely independent of each other except that the fronds of *Saccharina latissima* are likely to be colonized by epibiota, especially solitary ascidians and bryozoans, as well as supporting mobile gastropods such as *Steromphala cineraria*. Sea urchins *Psammechinus miliaris* might also feed on the fronds.

#### Seasonal and longer term change

No information has been found although it would be expected that filamentous red seaweeds especially might be more abundant in spring and summer than autumn and winter.

#### Habitat structure and complexity

There are a wide range of substrata available for colonization in this biotope and therefore potential for high biodiversity. Sediments are colonized by infauna including conspicuous species such as the burrowing anemone *Cerianthus lloydii* and the lugworm *Arenicola marina*, but also by polychaetes and bivalve molluscs. Pebbles and cobbles will be colonized by attached algae but also by barnacles, tube worms and encrusting bryozoans. Kelp holdfasts provide shelter for a range of mobile species, including amphipods, decapods and echinoderms, whilst the fronds are colonized by the grazing gastropods, *Steromphala cineraria* and *Calliostoma zizyphinum*. Sea urchins, *Psammechinus miliaris*, frequently graze on the kelp fronds.

#### Productivity

The algae which dominate this biotope are primary producers themselves contributing to the supply of detritus that is used by secondary producers. Sea urchins, *Psammechinus miliaris*, more directly feed on the fronds of the kelp.

#### Recruitment processes

Recruitment of characterizing species in the biotope is from planktonic sources. Mobile species such as the shore crab *Carcinus maenas* and the common starfish *Asterias rubens* will migrate into the biotope as juveniles and adults. However, other mobile species such as the topshell *Steromphala cineraria* and the sea urchin *Psammechinus miliaris* are unlikely to move far once settled.

#### Time for community to reach maturity

Most of the epibiota species in the biotope are known to be rapid colonizing and fast growing, including the dominant species. However, sediment infauna is probably more slow to colonize and develop. Some species such as *Cerianthus lloydii* may be very slow to colonize. Overall, because of the dominance of rapid settling and fast growing species, the biotope develop rapidly but recruitment of a full complement of species may take several years.

## Additional information

No biotope specific studies are known to have been undertaken.

## Preferences & Distribution

### Habitat preferences

<b>Depth Range</b>	0-5 m, 5-10 m
<b><a href="#">Water clarity preferences</a></b>	No information found
<b>Limiting Nutrients</b>	Nitrogen (nitrates)
<b>Salinity preferences</b>	Full (30-40 psu), Variable (18-40 psu)
<b>Physiographic preferences</b>	
<b>Biological zone preferences</b>	Sublittoral fringe, Upper infralittoral
<b>Substratum/habitat preferences</b>	Gravel / shingle, Sandy mud
<b>Tidal strength preferences</b>	Very Weak (negligible), Weak < 1 knot (<0.5 m/sec.)
<b>Wave exposure preferences</b>	Extremely sheltered, Sheltered, Very sheltered
<b>Other preferences</b>	

### Additional Information

## Species composition

Species found especially in this biotope

Rare or scarce species associated with this biotope

-

### Additional information

## Sensitivity review

### Sensitivity characteristics of the habitat and relevant characteristic species

SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR (plus sub-biotopes) and SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatCho typically occur on a mixture of shallow sediments and rock fractions. The mobility of the sediment and rock fractions allow *Saccharina latissima* (syn. *Laminaria saccharina*), *Chorda filum* and other red and brown seaweeds to grow on small stones and shells. *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* are important canopy forming species within these biotopes. Four sub-biotopes are present within the SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR biotope complex, which are largely distinguished by the degree of tidal flow and wave action. As the degree of wave and/or tidal exposure decreases there is a change in community structure, with the density of *Saccharina latissima* and the diversity of red algal species increasing. A decrease in tidal flow results in increased sediment stability which in turn facilitates mature macro-algae communities.

In undertaking this assessment of sensitivity, account is taken of knowledge of the biology of all characterizing species in the biotope. For this sensitivity assessment *Saccharina latissima*, *Chorda filum* are the primary foci of research, however it is recognized that the red seaweed communities of SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR also define these biotopes. Examples of important species groups are mentioned where appropriate.

### Resilience and recovery rates of habitat

*Saccharina latissima* (syn. *Laminaria saccharina*) and *Chorda filum* are opportunistic seaweeds which have relatively fast growth rates. *Saccharina latissima* is a perennial kelp which can reach maturity in 15-20 months ((Sjøtun, 1993) and has a life expectancy of 2-4 years (Parke, 1948). *Chorda filum* is an annual seaweed, completing its life cycle in a single season (Novaczek *et al.*, 1986). *Saccharina latissima* is widely distributed in the north Atlantic from Svalbard to Portugal (Birket *et al.*, 1998; Connor *et al.*, 2004; Bekby & Moy 2011; Moy & Christie 2012). *Chorda filum* is widely distributed across the northern hemisphere (Algae Base, 2015). In the North Atlantic, *Chorda filum* is recorded from Svalbard (Fredriksen *et al.*, 2014) to Northern Portugal (Araújo *et al.*, 2009).

*Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* have heteromorphic life strategies (Edwards, 1998). Mature sporophytes broadcast spawn zoospores from reproductive structures known as sori (South & Burrows, 1967; Birket *et al.*, 1998). Zoospores settle onto rock and develop into gametophytes, which following fertilization germinate into juvenile sporophytes. *Laminarian* zoospores are expected to have a large dispersal range. However, zoospore density and the rate of successful fertilization decreases exponentially with distance from the parental source (Fredriksen *et al.*, 1995). Hence, recruitment can be influenced by the proximity of mature kelp beds producing viable zoospores (Kain, 1979; Fredriksen *et al.*, 1995). *Saccharina latissima* recruits appear in late winter early spring beyond which is a period of rapid growth, during which sporophytes can reach a total length of 3 m (Werner & Kraan, 2004). In late summer and autumn growth rates slow and spores are released from autumn to winter (Parke, 1948; Lüning, 1979; Birket *et al.*, 1998). The overall length of the sporophyte may not change during the growing season due to marginal erosion but growth of the blade has been measured at 1.1 cm/day, with a total length addition of  $\geq 2.25$  m per year (Birkett *et al.*, 1998). *Chorda filum* recruits appear from February (South & Burrows, 1967) after which is a period of rapid growth during which sporophytes can reach a length of  $\leq 6$  m (South & Burrows, 1967). In culture, *Chorda filum* can reach reproductive maturity and produce zoospores within 186 days (ca 6 months) of settlement but the time taken to reach maturity may be locally variable (South & Burrows, 1967). In nature, sporophytes growth

slows/stops from October and sporophytes may begin to die off (South & Burrows, 1967; Novaczek *et al.*, 1986).

*Saccharina latissima* can be quite ephemeral in nature and appear early in algal succession. For example, Lienaas & Christie (1996) removed *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* from “Urchin Barrens” and observed a succession effect. Initially the substratum was colonized by filamentous algae, after a couple of weeks these were out-competed and the habitat dominated by *Saccharina latissimi*. However, this was subsequently out-competed by *Laminaria hyperborea*. In the Isle of Man, Kain (1975) cleared sublittoral blocks of *Laminaria hyperborea* at different times of the year for several years. The first colonizers and succession community differed between blocks and at what time of year the blocks were cleared. *Saccharina latissima* was an early colonizer, but within 2 years of clearance, the blocks were dominated by *Laminaria hyperborea*.

In 2002, a 50.7-83% decline of *Saccharina latissima* was discovered in the Skaggerak region, South Norway (Moy *et al.*, 2006; Moy & Christie, 2012). Survey results indicated a sustained shift from *Saccharina latissima* communities to those of ephemeral filamentous algal communities. The reason for the community shift was unknown, but low water movement in wave and tidally sheltered areas combined with the impacts of dense human populations, e.g. increased land run-off, was suggested to be responsible for the dominance of ephemeral turf macro-algae. Multiple stressors such as eutrophication, increasing regional temperature, increased siltation and overfishing may also be acting synergistically to cause the observed habitat shift.

**Resilience assessment.** *Saccharina latissima*, *Chorda filum* have the potential to rapidly recover following disturbance. *Saccharina latissima* has been shown to be an early colonizer within algal succession, appearing within 2 weeks of clearance, and can reach sexual maturity within 15-20 months. *Chorda filum* has rapid growth rates, capable of reaching sexual maturity within a year. Resilience has therefore been assessed as ‘**High**’.

## Hydrological Pressures

	Resistance	Resilience	Sensitivity
Temperature increase (local)	None Q: High A: High C: High	High Q: High A: High C: High	Medium Q: High A: High C: High

The temperature isotherm of 19-20 °C has been reported as limiting *Saccharina latissima* geographic distribution (Müller *et al.*, 2009). Gametophytes can develop in  $\leq 23^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Lüning, 1990) however the optimal temperature range for sporophyte growth is 10-15 °C (Bolton & Lüning, 1982). Bolton & Lüning (1982) experimentally observed that sporophyte growth was inhibited by 50-70% at 20 °C and following 7 days at 23 °C all specimens completely disintegrated. In the field *Saccharina latissima* has shown significant regional variation in its acclimation to temperature changes, for example Gerard & Dubois (1988) observed sporophytes of *Saccharina latissima* which were regularly exposed to  $\geq 20^{\circ}\text{C}$  could tolerate these temperatures, whereas sporophytes from other populations which rarely experience  $\geq 17^{\circ}\text{C}$  showed 100% mortality after 3 weeks of exposure to 20 °C. Therefore the response of *Saccharina latissima* to a change in temperatures is likely to be locally variable.

In experiments, Lüning (1980) observed that *Chorda filum* could not reproduce at 15-20 °C but found that sporophytes could tolerate  $\leq 26^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Northern to southern Sea Surface Temperature (SST) ranges from 8-16 °C in summer and 6-13 °C

in winter in the UK (Beszczynska-Möller & Dye, 2013). The effect of this pressure is likely to be regionally variable.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Ecotypes of *Saccharina lattissima* have been shown to have different temperature optimums (Dubois, 1988). Both a 2 & 5 °C increase in temperature when combined with high UK summer temperatures in the south of the UK could cause large scale mortality of *Saccharina lattissima* and inhibit *Chorda filum* reproduction. Resistance has been assessed as 'None', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Medium'.

#### Temperature decrease (local)

High

Q: High A: High C: High

High

Q: High A: High C: High

Not sensitive

Q: High A: High C: High

*Saccharina lattissima* and *Chorda filum* are widespread throughout the arctic. *Saccharina lattissima* has a lower temperature threshold for sporophyte growth at 0 °C (Lüning, 1990). *Chorda filum* sporophytes can also tolerate 0 °C, Novaczek *et al.*, (1986) observed that 99% of newly settled zoospores died at 0 °C but sporophytes transferred from 5 °C to 0 °C remained healthy and continued to grow for a period of 2 months. Novaczek *et al.*, (1986) therefore demonstrated that sporophytes could tolerate exposure to low ( $\geq 0^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) temperatures, but that exposure could have negative effects on larval survival and recruitment processes. Subtidal red algae can survive at -2°C (Lüning, 1990; Kain & Norton, 1990). The distribution and temperature tolerances of these species suggests they likely be unaffected by temperature decreases assessed within this pressure.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'High', resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not Sensitive'.

#### Salinity increase (local)

Medium

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

High

Q: High A: High C: High

Low

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Karsten (2007) tested the photosynthetic ability of *Saccharina lattissima* under acute 2 and 5 day exposure to salinity treatments ranging from 5-60 psu. A control experiment was also carried at 34 psu. *Saccharina lattissima* showed high photosynthetic ability at >80% of the control levels between 25-55 psu. However, Birkett *et al.* (1998) suggested that kelps are stenohaline and therefore long-term increases in salinity may be detrimental.

*Chorda filum* can be found in rock pools (South & Burrows, 1967). High air temperatures cause surface evaporation of water from rock pools, so that salinity steadily increases. The extent of temperature and salinity change is affected by the frequency and time of day at which tidal inundation occurs. If high tide occurs in early morning and evening the diurnal temperature follows that of the air, whilst high water at midday suddenly returns the temperature to that of the sea (Pyefinch, 1943). It should be noted however that local populations may be acclimated to the prevailing salinity regime and may therefore exhibit different tolerances to other populations subject to different salinity conditions and therefore caution should be used when inferring tolerances. However, it is likely that *Chorda filum* is tolerant of short-term salinity increases.

**Sensitivity assessment.** The evidence suggests that *Saccharina lattissima* and *Chorda filum* can tolerate short-term exposure to hypersaline conditions ( $\geq 40\%$ -MNCR full salinity). An increase in salinity to  $\geq 40\%$  may however be above the optima for characterizing species and cause a decline in growth, and possibly loss of red algae and a reduction in species diversity. Resistance has been assessed as 'Medium', resilience as 'High'. The sensitivity of this biotope to an increase in salinity

has been assessed as 'Low'.

### Salinity decrease (local)

**Medium**

Q: High A: High C: High

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Low**

Q: High A: High C: High

Karsten (2007) tested the photosynthetic ability of *Saccharina latissima* under acute 2 and 5 day exposure to salinity treatments ranging from 5-60 psu. A control experiment was also carried at 34 psu. *Saccharina latissima* showed high photosynthetic ability at >80% of the control levels between 25-55 psu. Hyposaline treatment of 10-20 psu led to a gradual decline of photosynthetic ability. After 2 days at 5 psu *Saccharina latissima* showed a significant decline in photosynthetic ability at approx. 30% of control. After 5 days at 5 psu *Saccharina latissima* specimens became bleached and showed signs of severe damage. The experiment was conducted on *Saccharina latissima* from the Arctic, and the authors suggest that at extremely low water temperatures (1-5°C) macroalgae acclimation to rapid salinity changes could be slower than at temperate latitudes. It is therefore possible that resident *Saccharina latissima* of the UK maybe be able to acclimate to salinity changes more effectively.

*Chorda filum* is tolerant of low salinities (Wilce, 1959; Hayren, 1940; Norton & South, 1969), and has been recorded at Björholm, Finland at a salinity as low as 5.15‰ (Hayren, 1940). Norton & South (1969) observed that *Chorda filum* could develop sporophytes at ≥5‰ under laboratory conditions, however at low salinities the time taken to develop into sporophytes took 65 days at 5‰, or 16 days at 35‰. It was also noted that below 9‰ sporophytes did not grow above 2 mm in length.

**Sensitivity assessment.** A decrease in one MNCR salinity scale from "Full Salinity" (30-40psu) to "Reduced Salinity" (18-30 psu) would inhibit *Saccharina lattissima* photosynthesis and hence growth. *Chorda filum* is highly tolerant of low salinity and is unlikely to be affected at the bench mark level. However, a shift to reduced salinity conditions is likely to result in a change in the infauna community and an overall reduction in species diversity. Therefore, resistance has been assessed as 'Medium' resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity of this biotope to a decrease in salinity has been assessed as 'Low'.

### Water flow (tidal current) changes (local)

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Not sensitive**

Q: High A: High C: High

Peteiro & Freire (2013) measured *Saccharina latissima* growth from 2 sites, the 1<sup>st</sup> had maximal water velocities of 0.3 m/sec and the 2<sup>nd</sup> 0.1 m/sec. At site 1 *Saccharina latissima* had significantly larger biomass than at site 2 (16 kg/m to 12 kg/m respectively). Peteiro & Freire (2013) suggested that faster water velocities were beneficial to *Saccharina latissima* growth. However, Gerard & Mann (1979) measured *Saccharina latissima* productivity at greater water velocities and found *Saccharina latissima* productivity is reduced in moderately strong tidal streams (≤1 m/sec) when compared to weak tidal streams (<0.5 m/sec).

*Chorda filum* sporophytes often grow on unstable objects, such as pebbles and shell. Owing to the typically unstable substratum which *Chorda filum* grows on, whole populations can be moved during storms and deposited in more sheltered locations where development will continue (South & Burrows, 1967). The survival of *Chorda filum* sporophytes following transport of their attached substrata indicates the species is relatively tolerant to changes in water flow or wave action.

As highlighted by Connor *et al.*, (2004) large increases in tidal flow (>0.5 m/s) are likely to influence biotope structure and smaller changes in tidal flow (e.g. 0.1-0.2m/s) are not likely to have a significant effect on the characterizing species. A change in tidal flow of 0.1-0.2 m/sec in low energy biotopes e.g. SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR.Mu, may however remove finer sediment fractions (e.g. mud) and may therefore change the biotope. However, evidence is lacking and a change in tidal velocities is not likely to result in a significant change to the dominant species.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as '**High**', resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Not Sensitive**'.

### Emergence regime changes

**Medium**

Q: Medium A: High C: High

**High**

Q: High A: Low C: High

**Low**

Q: Medium A: Low C: High

SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR and SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatCho are recorded from 0-10m, while SlatR can extend to 20m (Connor *et al.*, 2004). Therefore the upper limit of the biotopes in the sub-littoral fringe (South & Burrows, 1967; White & Marshall, 2007) could be exposed during some low tides.

An increase in emergence will result in an increased risk of desiccation and mortality of *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum*. Removal of macroalgae canopy may also increase desiccation and mortality of the undergrowth red seaweed community (Hawkins & Harkin, 1985). Providing that suitable substrata are present, the biotope is likely to re-establish further down the shore within a similar emergence regime to that which existed previously.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as '**Medium**'. Resilience as '**High**'. The sensitivity of this biotope to a change in emergence is considered as '**Low**'.

### Wave exposure changes (local)

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Not sensitive**

Q: High A: High C: High

Birkett *et al.* (1998b) suggested that *Saccharina latissima* is rarely present in areas of wave exposure, where it is out-competed by *Laminaria hyperborea*. *Chorda filum* sporophytes often grow on unstable objects, such as pebbles and shell. Owing to the typically unstable substratum which *Chorda filum* grows on, whole populations can be moved during storms and deposited in more sheltered locations where development will continue (South & Burrows, 1967). A large increase in near-shore wave height is likely to significantly influence biotope structure. As highlighted by Connor *et al.* (2004), sub-biotopes within SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR are largely distinguished by wave exposure

**Sensitivity assessment.** A large scale increase in local wave height may increase local sediment mobility, potentially increase dislodgment or relocation of the characterizing species (South & Burrows, 1967; Birkett *et al.*, 1998b). However, an increase in nearshore significant wave height of 3-5% is not likely to have a significant effect on biotope structure. Resistance has been assessed as '**High**', Resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Not Sensitive**' at the benchmark level.

## Chemical Pressures

Resistance

Resilience

Sensitivity

**Transition elements & organo-metal contamination**

Not Assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available

Bryan (1984) suggested that the general order for heavy metal toxicity in seaweeds is: Organic Hg > inorganic Hg > Cu > Ag > Zn > Cd > Pb. Cole *et al.*, (1999) reported that Hg was very toxic to macrophytes. Similarly, Hopkin & Kain (1978) demonstrated sub-lethal effects of heavy metals on kelp gametophytes and sporophytes, including reduced growth and respiration. Sheppard *et al.* (1980) noted that increasing levels of heavy metal contamination along the west coast of Britain reduced species number and richness in holdfast fauna, except for suspension feeders which became increasingly dominant. Gastropods may be relatively tolerant of heavy metal pollution (Bryan, 1984). Although macroalgae species may not be killed, except by high levels of contamination, reduced growth rates may impair the ability of the biotope to recover from other environmental disturbances. Thompson & Burrows (1984) observed the growth of *Saccharina latissima* sporophyte growth was significantly inhibited at 50 µg Cu /l, 1000 µg Zn/l and 50 µg Hg/l. Zoospores were found to be more intolerant and significant reductions in survival rates were observed at 25 µg Cu/l, 1000 µg Zn/l and 5 µg/l.

**Hydrocarbon & PAH contamination**

Not Assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available

The mucilaginous slime layer coating of *Laminarians* may protect them from smothering by oil. Hydrocarbons in solution reduce photosynthesis and may be algicidal. However, Holt *et al.* (1995) reported that oil spills in the USA and from the 'Torrey Canyon' had little effect on kelps. Similarly, surveys of subtidal communities at a number sites between 1-22.5m below chart datum showed no noticeable impacts of the Sea Empress oil spill and clean up (Rostron & Bunker, 1997) or during experimental release of untreated oil in Baffin Island, Canada (Cross *et al.*, 1987). Laboratory studies of the effects of oil and dispersants on several red algae species (Grandy 1984) concluded that they were all sensitive to oil/ dispersant mixtures, with little differences between adults, sporelings, diploid or haploid life stages.

**Synthetic compound contamination**

Not Assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

This pressure is **Not assessed** but evidence is presented where available

O'Brian & Dixon (1976) suggested that red algae were the most sensitive group of macrophytes to oil and dispersant contamination (see Smith, 1968). *Saccharina latissima* has also been found to be sensitive to antifouling compounds. Johansson (2009) exposed samples of *Saccharina latissima* to several antifouling compounds, observing chlorothalonil, DCOIT, dichlofluanid and tolylfluanid inhibited photosynthesis. Exposure to Chlorothalonil and tolylfluanid, was also found to continue inhibiting oxygen evolution after exposure had finished, and may cause irreversible damage.

Smith (1968) observed that epiphytic and benthic red algae were intolerant of dispersant or oil contamination during the Torrey Canyon oil spill; only the epiphytes *Cryptopleura ramosa* and

*Spermothamnion repens* and some tufts of *Jania rubens* survived together with *Osmundea pinnatifida*, *Gigartina pistillata* and *Phyllophora crispa* from the sublittoral fringe.

#### Radionuclide contamination

Not relevant (NR)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not relevant (NR)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

No evidence (NEv)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

No evidence

#### Introduction of other substances

Not Assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not assessed (NA)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

This pressure is **Not assessed**.

#### De-oxygenation

High

Q: Medium A: High C: High

High

Q: Medium A: High C: High

Not sensitive

Q: Medium A: High C: High

Reduced oxygen concentrations can inhibit both photosynthesis and respiration in macroalgae (Kinne, 1977). Despite this, macroalgae are thought to buffer the environmental conditions of low oxygen, thereby acting as a refuge for organisms in oxygen depleted regions especially if the oxygen depletion is short-term (Frieder *et al.*, 2012). A rapid recovery from a state of low oxygen is expected if the environmental conditions are transient. If levels do drop below 4 mg/l negative effects on these organisms can be expected with adverse effects occurring below 2mg/l (Cole *et al.*, 1999).

**Sensitivity Assessment.** Reduced oxygen levels are likely to inhibit photosynthesis and respiration but not cause a loss of the macroalgae population directly. Resistance has been assessed as 'High', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Not sensitive' at the benchmark level.

#### Nutrient enrichment

Not relevant (NR)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not relevant (NR)

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Not sensitive

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

Conolly & Drew (1985) found *Saccharina latissima* sporophytes had relatively higher growth rates when in close proximity to a sewage outlet in St Andrews, UK, compared to other sites along the east coast of Scotland. At St Andrews nitrate levels were 20.22µM, which represents an approx. 25% increase compared to other sites (approx. 15.87 µM). Handå *et al.* (2013) also reported *Saccharina latissima* sporophytes grew approx. 1% faster per day when in close proximity to Norwegian salmon farms, where elevated ammonium could be readily absorbed by sporophytes. Read *et al.* (1983) reported after the installation of a new sewage treatment works, which reduced the suspended solid content of liquid effluent by 60% in the Firth of Forth, *Saccharina latissima* became abundant where previously it had been absent. Bokn *et al.* (2003) conducted a nutrient loading experiment on intertidal fucoids. Within 3 years of the experiment no significant effect was observed in the communities, however 4-5 years into the experiment a shift occurred from perennials to ephemeral algae. Although Bokn *et al.* (2003) focussed on fucoids the results could indicate that long-term (>4 years) nutrient loading can result in community shift to ephemeral algae species. Disparities between the findings of the aforementioned studies are likely to be related to the level of organic enrichment.

Johnston & Roberts (2009) conducted a meta-analysis, which reviewed 216 papers to assess how a

variety of contaminants (including sewage and nutrient loading) affected 6 marine habitats (including subtidal reefs). A 30-50% reduction in species diversity and richness was identified from all habitats exposed to the contaminant types. Johnston & Roberts (2009) however also highlighted that macroalgal communities are relative tolerant to contamination, but that contaminated communities can have low diversity assemblages which are dominated by opportunistic and fast growing species (Johnston & Roberts, 2009 and references therein).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Although short-term exposure (<4 years) to nutrient enrichment may not affect seaweeds directly, indirect effects such as turbidity may significantly affect photosynthesis and result in reduced growth and reproduction and increased competition from fast growing but ephemeral species. However, this biotope is considered to be '**Not sensitive**' at the pressure benchmark, that assumes compliance with good status as defined by the WFD.

## Organic enrichment

**Medium**

Q: Medium A: High C: High

**High**

Q: Medium A: High C: High

**Low**

Q: Medium A: Medium C: High

Read *et al.* (1983) reported after the installation of a new sewage treatment works, which reduced the suspended solid content of liquid effluent by 60% in the Firth of Forth, *Saccharina latissima* became abundant where previously it had been absent. Bokn *et al.* (2003) conducted a nutrient loading experiment on intertidal fucoids. Within 3 years of the experiment no significant effect was observed in the communities, however 4-5 years into the experiment a shift occurred from perennials to ephemeral algae. Although Bokn *et al.* (2003) focussed on fucoids the results could indicate that long-term (>4 years) nutrient loading can result in community shift to ephemeral algae species. Disparities between the findings of the aforementioned studies are likely to be related to the level of organic enrichment.

Johnston & Roberts (2009) conducted a meta-analysis, which reviewed 216 papers to assess how a variety of contaminants (including sewage and nutrient loading) affected 6 marine habitats (including subtidal reefs). A 30-50% reduction in species diversity and richness was identified from all habitats exposed to the contaminant types. Johnston & Roberts (2009) however also highlighted that macroalgal communities are relatively tolerant to contamination, but that contaminated communities can have low diversity assemblages which are dominated by opportunistic and fast growing species (Johnston & Roberts, 2009 and references therein). Organic enrichment may also result in phytoplankton blooms that increase turbidity and therefore may negatively impact photosynthesis.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Although short-term exposure (<4 years) to organic enrichment may not affect seaweeds directly, indirect effects such as turbidity may significantly affect photosynthesis, and result in reduced growth and reproduction and increased competition from fast growing but ephemeral species. Resistance has been assessed as '**Medium**', resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Low**'.

## A Physical Pressures

**Resistance**

**None**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Resilience**

**Very Low**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Sensitivity**

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Physical loss (to land or freshwater habitat)**

All marine habitats and benthic species are considered to have a resistance of '**None**' to this

pressure and to be unable to recover from a permanent loss of habitat (resilience is 'Very Low'). Sensitivity within the direct spatial footprint of this pressure is therefore 'High'. Although no specific evidence is described confidence in this assessment is 'High', due to the incontrovertible nature of this pressure.

#### Physical change (to another seabed type)

**None**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Very Low**

Q: High A: High C: High

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

If sediment were replaced with rock or artificial substrata, this would represent a fundamental change to the biotope (Macleod *et al.*, 2014). All the characterizing species within this biotope can grow on rock biotopes (Birkett *et al.*, 1998; Connor *et al.*, 2004), however SS.SMp.KSwSS are by definition sediment biotopes and introduction of rock would change them into a rock based habitat complex, and the biotope would be lost

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance to the pressure is considered 'None', and resilience 'Very low'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'High'

#### Physical change (to another sediment type)

**None**

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

**Very Low**

Q: High A: High C: High

**High**

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

SS.SMp.KSwSS are sediment based biotopes. Stabilised cobbles, pebbles, gravel and shell fractions provide a substrate for macro-algae to dominate the community (Connor *et al.*, 2004). An increase in the dominance of smaller sediment fractions e.g. sand and/or mud will likely smother the existing biotope, inhibit successive re-colonisation of macro-algae and/or increase the sediment scour.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'None', resilience as **Very low** (the pressure is a permanent change), and sensitivity as **High**.

#### Habitat structure changes - removal of substratum (extraction)

**None**

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Medium**

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR (plus sub-biotopes), SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatCho can be found on a varied mixture of sediment and rock fractions. Extraction of substratum to 30 cm is likely to remove small sediment fractions (e.g. gravel) and may mobilize the remaining larger rock fractions (e.g. boulders) causing high mortality within the resident community. All characterizing species have rapid growth rates and are likely to recover within 2 years.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'None', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Medium'.

#### Abrasion/disturbance of the surface of the substratum or seabed

**None**

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

**Medium**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Medium**

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

Abrasion of the substratum e.g. from bottom or pot fishing gear, cable laying etc. may cause localised mobility of the substrata and mortality of the resident community. The effect would be

situation dependent, however, if bottom fishing gear were towed over a site it may mobilise a high proportion of the rock substrata and cause high mortality in the resident community.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'None', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Medium'.

#### Penetration or disturbance of the substratum subsurface

None

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

High

Q: High A: High C: High

Medium

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

Penetration and/or disturbance of the substrate below the surface of the seabed, may cause localised mobility of the substrata and mortality of the resident community.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as 'None', Resilience as 'High'. Sensitivity has been assessed as 'Medium'.

#### Changes in suspended solids (water clarity)

Low

Q: High A: High C: High

High

Q: High A: High C: High

Low

Q: High A: High C: High

Suspended Particle Matter (SPM) concentration has a positive linear relationship with subsurface light attenuation ( $K_d$ ) (Devlin *et al.*, 2008). Light availability and water turbidity are principal factors in determining depth range at which macro-algae can be found (Birkett *et al.*, 1998b). Light penetration influences the maximum depth at which laminarians can grow and it has been reported that laminarians grow at depths at which the light levels are reduced to 1 percent of incident light at the surface. Maximal depth distribution of laminarians, therefore, varies from 100 m in the Mediterranean to only 6-7m in the silt-laden German Bight. In Atlantic European waters, the depth limit is typically 35 m. In very turbid waters the depth at which kelp is found may be reduced, or in some cases excluded completely (e.g. Severn Estuary), because of the alteration in light attenuation by suspended sediment (Lüning, 1990; Birkett *et al.* 1998b). Laminarians show a decrease of 50% photosynthetic activity when turbidity increases by 0.1/m (light attenuation coefficient = 0.1-0.2/m; Staehr & Wernberg, 2009).

**Sensitivity Assessment.** A decrease in turbidity is likely to support enhanced growth (and possible habitat expansion) and is therefore not considered in this assessment. An increase in water turbidity is likely to primarily affect photosynthesis, therefore, growth and density of the canopy-forming seaweeds. Resistance to this pressure is defined as 'Low' and resilience to this pressure is defined as 'High' at the benchmark level due to the scale of the impact. Hence, this biotope is regarded as having a sensitivity of 'Low'.

#### Smothering and siltation rate changes (light)

High

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

High

Q: High A: High C: High

Not sensitive

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

Smothering by sediment e.g. 5 cm material during a discrete event, is unlikely to damage mature examples of *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* but may provide a physical barrier to zoospore settlement and therefore could negatively impact on recruitment processes (Moy & Christie, 2012). Laboratory studies showed that kelp and gametophytes can survive in darkness for between 6-16 months at 8 °C and would probably survive smothering by a discrete event and once returned to normal conditions gametophytes resumed growth or maturation within 1 month

(Dieck, 1993).

SS.SMp.KSwSS biotopes are all recorded in moderately strong tidal streams to negligible ( $\leq 1.5$  m/sec) (Connor *et al.*, 2004). In tidally exposed biotopes deposited sediment is unlikely to remain for more than a few tidal cycles (due to water flow or wave action). In sheltered biotopes deposited sediment could remain however are unlikely to remain for longer than a year.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as '**High**', resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Not Sensitive**'.

<b>Smothering and siltation rate changes (heavy)</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Low</b>
	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>

Smothering by sediment e.g. 30 cm material during a discrete event, is unlikely to damage mature examples of *Saccharina latissima* and *Chorda filum* but may provide a physical barrier to zoospore settlement and therefore could negatively impact on recruitment processes (Moy & Christie, 2012). Laboratory studies showed that kelp and gametophytes can survive in darkness for between 6-16 months at 8°C and would probably survive smothering by a discrete event and once returned to normal conditions gametophytes resumed growth or maturation within 1 month (Dieck, 1993).

SS.SMp.KSwSS biotopes are all recorded in moderately strong tidal streams to negligible ( $\leq 1.5$  m/sec) (Connor *et al.*, 2004). In tidally exposed biotopes deposited sediment is unlikely to remain for more than a few tidal cycles (due to water flow or wave action). In sheltered biotopes deposited sediment could remain however are unlikely to remain for longer than a year.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as '**Medium**', resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Low**'.

<b>Litter</b>	<b>Not Assessed (NA)</b>	<b>Not assessed (NA)</b>	<b>Not assessed (NA)</b>
	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>

Not assessed.

<b>Electromagnetic changes</b>	<b>Not relevant (NR)</b>	<b>Not relevant (NR)</b>	<b>No evidence (NEv)</b>
	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>

No evidence

<b>Underwater noise changes</b>	<b>Not relevant (NR)</b>	<b>Not relevant (NR)</b>	<b>Not relevant (NR)</b>
	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>NR</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>

Not relevant

<b>Introduction of light or shading</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>Medium</b>	<b>Medium</b>
	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>NR</b> C: <b>NR</b>	Q: <b>Low</b> A: <b>Low</b> C: <b>Low</b>

There is no evidence to suggest that anthropogenic light sources would affect macro-algae. Shading of the biotope (e.g. by construction of a pontoon, pier etc.) could adversely affect the biotope in areas where the water clarity is also low, and tip the balance to shade tolerant species, resulting in the loss of the biotope directly within the shaded area, or a reduction in seaweed abundance.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance is probably '**Low**', with a '**Medium**' resilience and a sensitivity of '**Medium**', albeit with 'low' confidence due to the lack of direct evidence. .

<b>Barrier to species movement</b>	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR
------------------------------------	--	--	--

**Not relevant.** This pressure is considered applicable to mobile species, e.g. fish and marine mammals rather than seabed habitats. Physical and hydrographic barriers may limit the dispersal of spores. But spore dispersal is not considered under the pressure definition and benchmark.

<b>Death or injury by collision</b>	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR
-------------------------------------	--	--	--

**Not relevant.** Collision from grounding vessels is addressed under abrasion above.

<b>Visual disturbance</b>	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR
---------------------------	--	--	--

Not relevant

## Biological Pressures

	Resistance	Resilience	Sensitivity
<b>Genetic modification &amp; translocation of indigenous species</b>	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	Not relevant (NR) Q: NR A: NR C: NR	No evidence (NEv) Q: NR A: NR C: NR

At the time of writing there is **no evidence** for translocation of *Saccharina latissima*, *Chorda filum* over significant geographic distances.

<b>Introduction or spread of invasive non-indigenous species</b>	<b>None</b> Q: High A: High C: High	<b>Very Low</b> Q: High A: High C: High	<b>High</b> Q: High A: High C: High
--	--	--	--

*Undaria pinnatifida* has received a large amount of research attention as a major Invasive Non Indigenous Species (INIS) which could out-compete native UK kelp habitats (see Farrell & Fletcher, 2006; Thompson & Schiel, 2012, Brodie *et al.*, 2014; Hieser *et al.*, 2014). *Undaria pinnatifida* was first recorded in the UK, Hamble Estuary, in June 1994 (Fletcher & Manfredi, 1995) and has since spread to a number of British ports. *Undaria pinnatifida* is an annual species, sporophytes appear in Autumn and grow rapidly throughout winter and spring during which they can reach a length of 1.65m (Birket *et al.*, 1998). Farrell & Fletcher (2006) suggested that native short lived species that

occupy similar ecological niches to *Undaria pinnatifida*, such as *Saccharina latissima* or *Chorda filum*, are likely to be worst affected and out-competed by *Undaria pinnatifida*. Where present an abundance of *Undaria pinnatifida* has corresponded to a decline in *Saccharina latissima* (Farrel & Fletcher, 2006) and *Laminaria hyperborea* (Hieser *et al.*, 2014).

In New Zealand, Thompson & Schiel (2012) observed that native fucoids could out-compete *Undaria pinnatifida* and re-dominate the substratum. However, Thompson & Schiel (2012) suggested the fucoid recovery was partially due to an annual *Undaria pinnatifida* die back, which as noted by Heiser *et al.*, (2014) does not occur in Plymouth sound, UK. *Undaria pinnatifida* was successfully eradicated on a sunken ship in Clatham Islands, New Zealand, by applying a heat treatment of 70 °C (Wotton *et al.*, 2004) however numerous other eradication attempts have failed, and as noted by Fletcher & Farrell, (1999) once established *Undaria pinnatifida* resists most attempts of long-term removal. The biotope is unlikely to fully recover until *Undaria pinnatifida* is fully removed from the habitat, which as stated above is unlikely to occur.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance to the pressure is considered ‘**Low**’, and resilience ‘**Very low**’. The sensitivity of this biotope to introduction of microbial pathogens is assessed as ‘**High**’.

#### Introduction of microbial pathogens

**Low**

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

**High**

Q: High A: Low C: High

**Low**

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

*Laminarians* may be infected by the microscopic brown alga *Streblonema aecidioides*. Infected algae show symptoms of Streblonema disease, i.e. alterations of the blade and stipe ranging from dark spots to heavy deformations and completely crippled thalli. Infection can reduce growth rates of host algae (Peters & Scaffelke, 1996). The marine fungi *Eurychasma spp* can also infect early life stages of *Laminarians* however the effects of infection are unknown (Müller *et al.*, 1999).

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance to the pressure is considered ‘**Low**’, and resilience ‘**High**’. The sensitivity of this biotope to introduction of microbial pathogens is assessed as ‘**Low**’.

#### Removal of target species

**Not relevant (NR)**

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

**Not relevant (NR)**

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

**Not relevant (NR)**

Q: NR A: NR C: NR

This pressure has been assessed as ‘**Not relevant**’.

There has been recent commercial interest in *Saccharina latissima* as a consumable called “sea vegetables” (Birket *et al.*, 1998). However, *Saccharina latissima* sporophytes are typically matured on ropes (Handå *et al.* 2013) and not directly extracted from the seabed, as with *Laminaria hyperborea* (Christie *et al.*, 1998). No evidence has been found for commercial extraction of *Chorda filum*.

#### Removal of non-target species

**None**

Q: Low A: NR C: NR

**High**

Q: High A: High C: High

**Medium**

Q: Low A: Low C: Low

Low level disturbances (e.g. solitary anchors) are unlikely to cause harm to the biotope as a whole, due to the impact’s small footprint. Thus evidence to assess the resistance of SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatR (plus sub-biotopes), SS.SMp.KSwSS.SlatCho to non-targeted removal is limited. It is assumed that incidental non-targeted catch (e.g. by trawls or dredges) could mobilise sediment, remove large

kelp species, overturn boulders and cobbles and bury smaller seaweeds and cause high mortality within the affected area.

**Sensitivity assessment.** Resistance has been assessed as '**None**', Resilience as '**High**'. Sensitivity has been assessed as '**Medium**'.

## Bibliography

- Besten, P.J. den, Herwig, H.J., Zandee, D.I. & Voogt, P.A., 1989. Effects of Cd and PCBs on reproduction in the starfish *Asterias rubens*: aberrations in early development. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **18**, 173-180.
- Birkett, D.A., Maggs, C.A., Dring, M.J. & Boaden, P.J.S., 1998b. Infralittoral reef biotopes with kelp species: an overview of dynamic and sensitivity characteristics for conservation management of marine SACs. *Natura 2000 report prepared by Scottish Association of Marine Science (SAMS) for the UK Marine SACs Project.*, Scottish Association for Marine Science. (UK Marine SACs Project, vol V.). Available from: <http://www.ukmarinesac.org.uk/publications.htm>
- Boalch, G.T., 1979. The dinoflagellate bloom on the coast of south-west England, August to September 1978. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, **59**, 515-517.
- Bokn, T., Berge, J.A., Green, N. & Rygg, B., 1990. Invasion of the planktonic alga *Chrysochomulina polylepis* along south Norway in May-June 1988: acute effects on biological communities along the coast. In *Eutrophication and algal blooms in the North Sea coastal zones and adjacent areas: prediction and assessment of preventive actions* (ed. C. Lancelot, G. Billen & H. Barth ), pp.183-193. Brussels: Commission of the European Communities.
- Bokn, T.L., Moy, F.E. & Murray, S.N., 1993. Long-term effects of the water-accommodated fraction (WAF) of diesel oil on rocky shore populations maintained in experimental mesocosms. *Botanica Marina*, **36**, 313-319.
- Burrows, E.M., 1971. Assessment of pollution effects by the use of algae. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B*, **177**, 295-306.
- Crisp, D.J. (ed.), 1964. The effects of the severe winter of 1962-63 on marine life in Britain. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **33**, 165-210.
- De Wilde P.A.W.J. & Berghuis, E.M., 1979. Laboratory experiments on growth of juvenile lugworms, *Arenicola marina*. *Netherlands Journal of Sea Research*, **13**, 487-502.
- Fletcher, R.L., 1996. The occurrence of 'green tides' - a review. In *Marine Benthic Vegetation. Recent changes and the Effects of Eutrophication* (ed. W. Schramm & P.H. Nienhuis). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag. [Ecological Studies, vol. 123].
- Hawkins, S.J. & Hartnoll, R.G., 1985. Factors determining the upper limits of intertidal canopy-forming algae. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **20**, 265-271.
- Hayward, P.J. 1994. *Animals of sandy shores*. Slough, England: The Richmond Publishing Co. Ltd. [Naturalists' Handbook 21.]
- Holt, T.J., Jones, D.R., Hawkins, S.J. & Hartnoll, R.G., 1995. The sensitivity of marine communities to man induced change - a scoping report. *Countryside Council for Wales, Bangor, Contract Science Report*, no. 65.
- Hopkin, R. & Kain, J.M., 1978. The effects of some pollutants on the survival, growth and respiration of *Laminaria hyperborea*. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science*, **7**, 531-553.
- JNCC, 2015. The Marine Habitat Classification for Britain and Ireland Version 15.03. (20/05/2015). Available from <https://mhc.jncc.gov.uk/>
- Kain, J.M., 1975a. Algal recolonization of some cleared subtidal areas. *Journal of Ecology*, **63**, 739-765.
- Kain, J.M., 1979. A view of the genus *Laminaria*. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review*, **17**, 101-161.
- Lawrence, J.M., 1996. Mass mortality of echinoderms from abiotic factors. In *Echinoderm Studies Vol. 5* (ed. M. Jangoux & J.M. Lawrence), pp. 103-137. Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema.
- Longbottom, M.R., 1970. The distribution of *Arenicola marina* (L.) with particular reference to the effects of particle size and organic matter of the sediments. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **5**, 138-157.
- Lyngby, J.E. & Mortensen, S.M., 1996. Effects of dredging activities on growth of *Laminaria saccharina*. *Marine Ecology, Pubblicazioni della Stazione Zoologica di Napoli I*, **17**, 345-354.
- Norton, T.A. & South, G.R., 1969. Influence of reduced salinity on the distribution of two laminarian algae. *Oikos*, **20**, 320-326
- Olive, P.J.W. & Cadman, P.S., 1990. Mass mortalities of the lugworm on the South Wales coast: a consequence of algal bloom? *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **21**, 542-545.
- Pearson, T.H. & Rosenberg, R., 1978. Macrobenthic succession in relation to organic enrichment and pollution of the marine environment. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: an Annual Review*, **16**, 229-311.
- Peters, A.F. & Schaffelke, B., 1996. *Streblonema* (Ectocarpales, Phaeophyceae) infection in the kelp *Laminaria saccharina* in the western Baltic. *Hydrobiologia*, **326/327**, 111-116.
- Prouse, N.J. & Gordon, D.C., 1976. Interactions between the deposit feeding polychaete *Arenicola marina* and oiled sediment. In *Proceedings of a Symposium of the American Institute of Biological Sciences, Arlington, Virginia, 1976. Sources, effects and sinks of hydrocarbons in the aquatic environment*, pp. 408-422. USA: American Institute of Biological Sciences.
- Read, P.A., Anderson, K.J., Matthews, J.E., Watson, P.G., Halliday, M.C. & Shiells, G.M., 1983. Effects of pollution on the benthos of the Firth of Forth. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, **14**, 12-16.
- Smith, J.E. (ed.), 1968. 'Torrey Canyon'. *Pollution and marine life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Sommer, A., Klein, B. & Pörtner, H.O., 1997. Temperature induced anaerobiosis in two population of the polychaete worm *Arenicola marina* (L.). *Journal of Comparative Physiology, series B*, **167**, 25-35.
- Thompson, R.S. & Burrows, E.M., 1984. The toxicity of copper, zinc and mercury to the brown macroalga *Laminaria saccharina*. In

*Ecotoxicological testing for the marine environment* (ed. G. Persoone, E. Jaspers, & C. Claus), Vol. 2, pp. 259-269. Ghent: Laboratory for biological research in aquatic pollution, State University of Ghent.