

1 **DRAFT of MANUSCRIPT-PLEASE SEE THE PUBLISHED VERSION in JPR**

Formatted: Font color: Red

2 **Comparative study of zooplankton scales and patterns of variability at four**
3 **monitoring sites of the Northeast Atlantic Shelves in relation to latitude and**
4 **trophic status**

5 A. Fanjul 1, F. Villate 1, I. Uriarte 2, A. Iriarte 2, A. Atkinson 3, K. Cook 4

6
7 1- Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Science and Technology,
8 University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), PO Box 644, 48008 Bilbao.

9 2- Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of
10 the Basque Country (UPV/EHU); Paseo de la Universidad 7, 01006 Gasteiz.

11 3- Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth, PL13DH,
12 United Kingdom

13 4- Marine Laboratory, Marine Scotland Science, Scottish Government, 375
14 Victoria Road, Aberdeen AB11 9DB, United Kingdom

15
16 Contact author: Álvaro Fanjul, Department of Plant Biology and Ecology, Faculty of
17 Science and Technology, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), PO Box 644,
18 48008 Bilbao. Alvaro.fanjul@ehu.es.

28

29 **ABSTRACT**

30 A comparative study of zooplankton variability was carried out analysing 15-year time
31 series (1999 – 2013) from the sites of Stonehaven (SH: northwest North Sea), Plymouth
32 L4 (L4: English Channel), Urdaibai 35 (U35: southeast Bay of Biscay, oligotrophic)
33 and Bilbao 35 (B35: southeast Bay of Biscay, mesotrophic), with the aim of assessing
34 the effect of latitude and trophic status on the scales of variability, the interannual
35 variations and the seasonal patterns of zooplankton. The variables analysed were total
36 zooplankton, chlorophyll *a*, temperature and 25 zooplankton taxa distinguished to group
37 and genera levels. From the three components of zooplankton variability (interannual,
38 seasonal and residual) the residual was the highest and the interannual the lowest at all
39 sites, but none of these could be clearly related to latitude or trophic status. The three
40 components of variability were the lowest at L4, the interannual was highest at U35 due
41 to a local event that occurred in 2012, the seasonal was highest at the northernmost site
42 of SH, and the residual at U35 and B35, the latter likely related to lower sampling
43 frequency and higher natural and anthropogenic stress at U35 and B35. Interannual
44 zooplankton variations were not coherent across sites, suggesting that they were driven
45 by forces acting locally rather than by wider scale climatic drivers. The most recurrent
46 phenological trend was the delay of the early seasonal peak with increasing latitude. For
47 taxa with bimodal peaks, progressively later peaks with increasing latitude were also
48 observed. With some exceptions, the annual maxima was delayed and shortened with
49 increasing latitude. In the Bay of Biscay sites, under oligotrophic conditions (U35) most
50 taxa peaked in spring at the time of the early spring phytoplankton bloom, while under
51 mesotrophic conditions (B35) most taxa peaked in summer during the post spring
52 period of phytoplankton growth.

53

54

55

56

57

58 **INTRODUCTION**

59 The abundance of zooplankton may be highly variable at time scales that span from

Comment [AA1]: The abstract is rather long and detailed, so i have tried to shorten and sharpen it to get across the essential points

Comment [AA2]: Is this change correct

60 minutes to decades in response to environmental drivers and stressors operating across a
61 wide range of temporal scales (Haury et al., 1978). Relevant time scales of variance,
62 spanning days to years involve changes in growth, production, mortality and community
63 function (Marine Zooplankton Colloquium 1, 1989). The annual seasonal cycle is a key
64 scale because of the great magnitude of physical and biotic variation at this scale
65 (Mackas et al. 2012), and the importance of phenological timing for the predator and
66 prey. For example fish larvae survival and recruitment success is highly dependent on
67 the availability of suitable zooplankton preys in synchrony with the seasonal spawning
68 and development of larvae, and according to the match-mismatch hypothesis (Cushing,
69 1990), there is the potential for differential phenology shifts of predator and prey, in
70 response to environmental changes (Edwards and Richardson 2004, Durant et al, 2007).

71 In addition to phenological shifts, interannual variations in overall abundance of
72 zooplankton are driven by year-to-year variations of the physical and nutritional
73 environments. These also help to modulate the recruitment of fish populations (Liu et
74 al., 2014). Therefore it is important to determine the extent to which the seasonal and
75 interannual variations differ from site to site in order to build an ecological
76 classification of pelagic ecosystems on a geographical basis. (Longhurst, 1998). At a
77 large spatial scale, latitude-dependent differences in light and temperature are the main
78 factors responsible for the most remarkable changes in the plankton annual cycles. The
79 general pattern for oceanic zooplankton is for a large amplitude single summer peak at
80 high-latitudes, bimodal cycles with a spring bloom and a secondary peak in autumn at
81 middle latitudes and no clear seasonal patterns in low latitude tropical waters (Heinrich,
82 1962). In shallow shelf seas, however, local natural (e.g. river discharge, coastal
83 upwelling) and anthropogenic (wastewater inputs) stressors may modify substantially
84 the standard plankton cycles (e.g. Cloern, 1996; Jamet et al., 2001; Ribera d'Alcalà et
85 al., 2004).

86
87 In the ICES area a large number of time series are available using comparable
88 method (O'Brien et al. 2013), but there have been few attempts to synthesise across
89 multiple time series (Mackas et al. 2012, Castellani et al. 2016). Policy directives such
90 as the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (ref) need to assess baseline envelopes of
91 variability and its causes, and to provide a broad scale geographical context for this
92 variability. We have selected four of these ICES sites to assess the relative effects of
93 latitude and anthropogenic nutrient enrichment on the seasonal and interannual patterns

Comment [AA3]: You could cite their Prog Oceanogr paper here

94 of variability of mesozooplankton in coastal ecosystems across the Northeast Atlantic
95 Shelves Province (Longhurst, 1998). We compared mesozooplankton dynamics from
96 coastal sites located in the northernmost and the southernmost limits, and in the middle
97 of the geographic province, as well as from sites located at the same latitude but with
98 different trophic status.

Comment [AA4]:

Comment [AA5]: I think add a sentence here summarising the four sites saying how u35 and b35 are different. Then add a sentence to say they were specially selected due to their comparable sampling

99 MATERIAL AND METHODS

100 Study area and data acquisition

101 This study was carried out with data from four selected sites with ongoing zooplankton
102 monitoring programs, which allowed us to make robust comparisons of the zooplankton
103 temporal variations because the same mesh size (200 μm) was used for zooplankton
104 collection and simultaneous fifteen-year data series were available. The selection of the
105 sites was made mainly on a latitudinal (Figure 1) and trophic status basis. The selected
106 sites were Bilbao 35 (B35), Urdaibai 35 (U35), Plymouth L4 (L4) and Stonehaven
107 (SH). B35 and U35 are located nearby in the Basque coast, inner Bay of Biscay, but
108 they differ substantially regarding their trophic status. L4 is located off the southwest
109 coast of England, in the western English Channel (Smyth et al. 2015), and Stonehaven
110 is off the eastern Scottish coast, in the northwest North Sea, and both show a trophic
111 status more similar to that of U35 than to that B35. These sites lie in a latitudinal
112 gradient, from B35 and U35, at the southern limit of the Northeast Atlantic Shelves
113 Province (Longhurst, 1998), to SH, at the northern limit, with L4 in an intermediate
114 position (Fig. 1).

Comment [AA6]: Is there a reference website to summarising sampling here)

Comment [AA7]: Likewise are there references to sum up sampling at these two sites?

115 Data of zooplankton abundance and relevant environmental parameters that were
116 regularly measured at the four sites, i.e. temperature and chlorophyll *a*, for a fifteen-year
117 period running from 1999 to 2013 (both included) were used in the present study.

118 *Bilbao 35 and Urdaibai 35*

119 The B35 site (43° 24.15'N, 3° 5.25'W), with a mean water depth of 13 m, is in the
120 coastal embayment of the marine zone (around 35 salinity below the halocline) of the
121 estuary of Bilbao, a once highly polluted system which is now in a rehabilitation phase
122 (REF:). This is a partially mixed site influenced by the estuarine plume. In contrast, U35
123 (43° 27.7'N, 2° 45.3'W) is a well-mixed site with a mean water depth of 4.5 m and high
124 rate of tidal flushing located at the mouth of the shallow estuary of Urdaibai, a marine-
125 dominated system with much lower human pressure than the estuary of Bilbao. The

Comment [AA8]: Useful to say how offshore these sampling sites are. It would be very useful for the reader if you included a simple table showing water depth, distance offshore, temp range, salinity range, chl *a* range and sampling frequency of the 4 sites. This table could also summarise crucial information on sampling, for example how many replicate tows per timepoint were made (two at L4) and perhaps a further reference detailing sampling and analytical methods

126 trophic status of the U35 and B35 sites during the study period may be classified as
127 oligotrophic and mesotrophic respectively, on the basis of the chlorophyll concentration
128 criteria (Zaldivar et al. 2008). Both coastal areas are meso-macrotidal and subject to
129 semidiurnal tides.
130 Data from the B35 and U35 sites were obtained in monthly samplings conducted at high
131 tide during neap tides. Vertical profiles of temperature were obtained *in situ* using
132 WTW and YSI Water Quality Meters, but only data from the depth of zooplankton
133 sampling were used in this study. Zooplankton samples were taken by horizontal tows
134 at a mid depth, below the halocline when this was present, with 200 µm mesh size nets
135 (mouth diameter of 0.25 m) equipped with a flowmeter. Water samples for chlorophyll
136 *a* analysis were obtained at the same depth that zooplankton samples with Oceanic
137 Niskin bottles, and chlorophyll *a* concentration was determined spectrophotometrically
138 according to the monochromatic method with acidification (Jeffrey and Mantoura,
139 1997).

140 ***Plymouth L4***

141 The L4 site (4° 13'W, 50° 15'N) is located 13 km southwest of Plymouth. It is a
142 transitionally mixed site (Southward et al., 2005) with a mean water depth of 54 m, and
143 its hydrography is influenced both by inputs of riverine freshwater from the rivers Plym
144 and Tamar outflowing at Plymouth (Rees et al., 2009) and by oceanic water during
145 periods of strong south west winds. Samplings were carried out weekly and surface
146 temperature was measured with a thermometer placed inside a stainless steel bucket.
147 Since 2000 temperature was also measured with a CTD (Atkinson et al., 2015). CTD
148 data have been used whenever both measurements were available. Chlorophyll *a* was
149 measured by using reversed-phase HPLC as described in Atkinson et al. (2015).
150 Zooplankton samples were collected by vertical net hauls (WP2 net, 200 µm mesh size,
151 0.57 m diameter) from 50 to the surface.

152 ***Stonehaven***

153 The SH site (56° 57.8' N, 02° 06.2' W), with a mean depth of ~50 m, is located 5 km
154 offshore from Stonehaven. This placement was selected with the aim of reducing the
155 impact of freshwater input produced by rivers Dee and Don, which both outflow at
156 Aberdeen, fifteen miles to the north of Stonehaven. This is a dynamic site, well mixed
157 for most of the year. Thermal stratification of the water column is weak, and usually
158 confined to neap tides during the summer months due to strong tidal currents and

Comment [AA9]: Fig. 1 is good but can you show an insert for each of the areas (three maps showing how far offshore they were, how close to rivers and the water depth

159 southerly flow. This site fulfils the requirements of the EU Water Framework Directive,
160 acting as a reference site with a “Good Environmental Status”. Samplings were carried
161 out approximately weekly, weather conditions permitting. Salinity and temperature
162 were measured for the water column using a CTD. Water samples were taken with a 10
163 m integrated tube sampler for chlorophyll, which is measured fluorometrically as
164 described in Bresnan et al (2015). Vertical bongo nets (40 cm diameter and 200 µm
165 mesh) were used to collect zooplankton samples from the bottom to the surface.
166 Because of the use of 200 µm meshes to capture zooplankton at the four sites, the
167 results obtained in this study on total zooplankton and zooplankton taxa abundances
168 refer to the mesozooplankton fraction.

169 **Data pretreatment**

170 Occasional missing values (less than 5%) in the monthly data sets of the time series
171 were filled by data interpolation using the mean values of the previous month and the
172 following month of the selected variable.

173 For the purpose of the present study and to ensure data consistency, taxonomic
174 homogenization was undertaken. Zooplankton data were analysed for total zooplankton
175 and for selected zooplankton taxa belonging to two different resolution levels: (i) a
176 broad level (main groups routinely identified), consisting of six holoplankton categories
177 (copepods, cladocerans, appendicularians, chaetognaths, siphonophores and doliolids)
178 and nine meroplankton categories (cirripede larvae, decapod larvae, gastropod larvae,
179 bivalve larvae, polychaete larvae, fish eggs and larvae, bryozoan larvae, echinoderm
180 larvae and hydromedusae, which also included the far less abundant holoplanktonic
181 forms of the Hydrozoa class, such as *Liriope tetraphylla*), and (ii) a finer level, where
182 the herein termed “key” genera, consisting of genera or genera-assemblages of
183 cladocerans and copepods, were distinguished.

184 Ten “key” genera were considered. For the cladoceras the genera *Evadne* and
185 *Podon* were considered. For the copepods, *Acartia*, *Calanus*, *Centropages*, *Temora*,
186 *Oithona*, *Oncaea* and *Corycaeus* genera and the “PCPCalanus” (*Paracalanus*,
187 *Clausocalanus*, *Pseudocalanus* and *Ctenocalanus*) genera assemblage were considered.
188 Some of the above mentioned genera include recently separated new genera that were
189 not originally considered in the series like the genera *Mesocalanus* and *Calanoides*
190 within *Calanus*, the genus *Monothula* within *Oncaea*, the genus *Ditrichocorycaeus*
191 within *Corycaeus*, the genus *Pseudevadne* within *Evadne* and the genus *Pleopis* within

Comment [AA10]: I suggest that you change this to “small calaniids” throughout this paper as the name you have makes it look as if it is the genus *Calanus*

192 *Podon*. The summary of the identified components and their contribution to the total
193 mesozooplankton for each selected taxon are shown in Tables 1, 2 and 3 for
194 holoplankton groups, meroplankton groups and cladoceran-copepod genera,
195 respectively. In gastropod larvae and polychaete larvae categories holoplanktonic
196 gastropods like *Limacina retroversa* and Gymnosomata and holoplanktonic polychaetes
197 like *Tomopteris helgolandica* were included, because they were not always
198 distinguished from meroplanktonic species on routine identifications.
199 As the samplings in B35 and U35 were performed on a monthly scale and most of them
200 were carried out during the last week of the month and occasionally in the third week of
201 the month or in the first week of the following one, whereas samplings in L4 and SH
202 were generally conducted weekly, the number of data per year was adjusted to twelve,
203 one per month, in all cases. For that purpose for L4 and SH the mean of all the values
204 obtained within each month was calculated. These monthly mean values were plotted
205 using in the time scale the mean Julian day value of the Julian days of all sampling
206 conducted each month.
207 To describe seasonal patterns of variability on the basis of Julian days, the astronomical
208 calendar was used. Therefore, winter refers to the time between 22 December and 20
209 March, spring to the time between 20 March and 21 June, summer to the time between
210 21 June and 23 September and autumn to the time between 23 September and 22
211 December.

212 **Data treatment**

213 The scales and patterns of variability for temperature, chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*), total
214 zooplankton and selected zooplankton taxa, both at broad group and “key” genera
215 levels, were extracted for each monitoring site by using the multiplicative model
216 described by Cloern and Jassby (2010). This method decomposes time series into three
217 different components of variability associated to different scales: (i) an annual
218 component, herein named “interannual variability”, where increasing or decreasing
219 trends, shifts and annual events can be detected, (ii) a seasonal component or “seasonal
220 variability”, where a standard seasonal pattern can be identified, and (iii) a residual
221 component, or “residual variability”, associated to the event scale, which includes the
222 variability that cannot be attributed to the average seasonal pattern or to fluctuations in
223 the annual mean. (Cloern and Jassby, 2010).

224 Unfortunately the data for *Centropages* in 1999 at L4 were not available. We have filled
225 this gap for calculations of interannual and seasonal variability by assuming the same
226 abundance data as in 2000. To make possible the calculations of interannual and
227 seasonal variability of doliolids at SH, where doliolids were not recorded in some years,
228 we added in such years a value of 0.01 in the month of the annual maximum obtained
229 from the years with presence of doliolids. In addition, an unusual high value of fish eggs
230 at L4 in March 2000 was considered an outlier due to a counting error, so this was
231 replaced by the mean value of the month obtained from the rest of years of the series.
232 Paired t-tests were performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0 (IBM
233 Corp., Armonk, NY) to test for differences between sites in the interannual, seasonal
234 and residual components of variability of zooplankton taxa, and to test for differences
235 between the three components of variability within each site.
236 Correlation analyses were performed to test the relationship of the year-to-year
237 variations of total zooplankton abundance, Chl *a* concentration, surface water
238 temperature and zooplankton taxa abundance at each site, and the interannual
239 relationships of each zooplankton taxa between sites using SPSS Statistics for
240 Windows, Version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).
241 Resemblance analyses were carried out by means of the Bray Curtis similarity index
242 (Bray and Curtis, 1957), using the group average method, to measure the similarity (or
243 dissimilarity) between all the selected zooplankton taxa, according to their patterns of
244 variability (pooled for the four monitoring sites). Similarity (or dissimilarity) was tested
245 both for the interannual and the seasonal scales. These analyses were performed using
246 the PRIMER 6 software package (Clarke and Warwick, 2006). Results of the analyses
247 were displayed in dendrograms for each of the two scales of variability considered.
248 Contour plots of variability values for each zooplankton taxa were created with Surfer
249 software, version 10 (Golden Software, Inc, Golden, Colorado) using the kriging
250 gridding method, in order to visualize differences between sites in the year-to-year
251 changes and the seasonal patterns of variability of mesozooplankton taxa and infer the
252 relationship with latitude and trophic status.

253 **RESULTS**

254 **Scales of variability**

255 The results on the three components of variability (interannual, seasonal and residual)
256 extracted from the time series of the selected zooplankton taxa at the four sites are

257 shown in Figure 2. Overall, interannual variability was the lowest and residual
258 variability the highest at all sites, but the within site comparison of the three
259 components indicated that such differences were not statistically significant between
260 seasonal and interannual variability at U35, and between residual and seasonal
261 variability at SH (Table 4). Comparison between sites showed that the lowest
262 interannual, seasonal and residual variability were obtained at L4, while the highest
263 interannual and residual variability were found at U35 and the highest seasonal
264 variability at SH. The paired t-test for differences between sites (Table 5) showed that
265 interannual variability mainly differed between U35 and the other three sites because it
266 was significantly higher at U35 than at B35, L4 and SH. Seasonal variability was
267 significantly higher at SH than at L4, while differences between SH and the sites of the
268 Bay of Biscay (U35 and B35) approached the significance level ($p \approx 0.06$). However if
269 doliolids, which only occurred at SH in low numbers in the last years of the series, are
270 excluded from the analysis, seasonal variability of zooplankton taxa at SH was found to
271 be significantly higher than at the other three sites ($p < 0.05$). The residual variability
272 was significantly higher at U35 and B35 than at L4 and SH.

273 **Interannual variations**

274 ***Total zooplankton, chlorophyll a and temperature***

275 The annual mean values and the interannual variability (dimensionless) of total
276 zooplankton abundance, chl *a* concentration and temperature at the four sites for the
277 period 1999-2013 are shown in Figure 3. Zooplankton abundance fluctuated between
278 972 and 5097 ind. m^{-3} until 2011 in all the sites under study. However, in 2012 the
279 annual means reached 9116 and 12866 ind. m^{-3} in B35 and U35, respectively, while in
280 2013 they decreased to values similar to those observed prior to 2012. The mean
281 abundance of zooplankton was significantly lower in SH (2022 ± 464 ind. m^{-3}) than in
282 the other three sites (L4 $p = 0.003$, U35 $p = 0.009$ and U35 $p < 0.001$), and no
283 significant differences were observed between L4 (3563 ± 936 ind. m^{-3}), U35
284 (3403 ± 2806 ind. m^{-3}) and B35 (4195 ± 1515 ind. m^{-3}). Interannual variations of
285 zooplankton abundance were not correlated between sites in any case.

286 Chl *a* concentrations were on average significantly higher in B35 (2.19 ± 0.97 $\mu g L^{-1}$)
287 than in the other three sites ($p < 0.001$) and did not differ statistically between U35
288 (0.82 ± 0.23 $\mu g L^{-1}$), L4 (1.24 ± 0.21 $\mu g L^{-1}$) and SH (1.29 ± 0.26 $\mu g L^{-1}$). Interannual
289 variations of Chl *a* showed clear differences between sites both in terms of

290 concentrations and dimensionless variability. The annual mean values at U35, L4 and
291 SH were similar and ranged between 0.49 and 1.81 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$, whereas at B35 annual mean
292 chl *a* concentrations were higher than at the other sites most of the years, reaching a
293 maximum mean annual value of 4.76 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ in 2000. In contrast, U35 showed the
294 lowest concentrations of Chl *a* during the study period (except in 2002). No correlation
295 of interannual variation of Chl *a* was found between sites, nor between interannual
296 variations of Chl *a* and zooplankton at each site, except at B35, where a significant
297 negative correlation ($p = 0.026$) was found between Chl *a* concentration and
298 zooplankton abundance.

299 Annual mean values of water temperature clearly differed between sites in relation to
300 latitude, and, on average, they were highest at B35 ($16.0 \pm 0.37^\circ\text{C}$) and U35
301 ($16.2 \pm 0.34^\circ\text{C}$), the only sites without significant differences in temperature between
302 them. L4 water showed intermediate temperatures ($12.6 \pm 0.36^\circ\text{C}$), and SH the lowest
303 ones ($9.5 \pm 0.31^\circ\text{C}$). The warmest and the coldest years in the temperature series differed
304 between sites, although in all of them the warmest ones were recorded during an
305 intermediate period of the series comprised from 2003 to 2007 (2003 at SH, 2003 and
306 2006 with similar values at U35, 2006 at B35 and 2007 at L4) and the coldest ones in
307 the second half of the series (2007 at B35 and U35, 2010 at L4 and 2013 at SH).
308 Interannual variations in water temperature were positively correlated between B35 and
309 U35 ($p < 0.001$), and between L4 and SH ($p = 0.001$). In addition, a significant positive
310 correlation ($p = 0.024$) was found between the interannual variations of water
311 temperature and Chl *a* at L4.

312 ***Zooplankton taxa***

313 The clustering of zooplankton taxa according to their interannual variations (in
314 dimensionless units of variability) obtained from data pooled for the four sites (Figure
315 4) showed a stair-step appearance, with a lack of defined clusters. The most consistent
316 similarities were found for copepods and some of their main genera (PCPCalanus,
317 *Oithona*, *Acartia*) together with decapod larvae and appendicularians, and for
318 cladocerans and their genus *Evadne*,
319 Contour plots evidenced a general low coherence in the interannual variations of most
320 taxa along the latitudinal gradient and between the mesotrophic site B35 and the other
321 three sites (Figure 5). Cladocerans and their dominant genus at B35, U35 and L4, i.e.
322 *Evadne*, showed more or less recurrent high frequency (one-two yr period) peaks along

323 the time series at all sites, but they were not synchronised in the year-to-year scale. For
324 copepods, PCPCalanus, *Oithona*, *Acartia*, and appendicularians the most remarkable
325 feature of the time series was the prominent peak that occurred in 2012 at B35 and U35.
326 The sudden increase of abundance in 2012 at B35 and U35 was also observed for
327 meroplanktonic groups such as bivalve larvae and echinoderm larvae. Nevertheless,
328 irregular fluctuations that were unsynchronised between sites were the main features of
329 the interannual variability for most zooplankton taxa.

330 Correlation analysis further showed that few taxa had similar interannual variations at
331 different sites. In fact, there was not any taxon showing interannual synchrony between
332 the four sites. The number of taxa that showed interannual synchrony was highest
333 between the nearby sites of B35 and U35, with 8 taxa (cladocerans, siphonophores,
334 doliolids, bivalve larvae, bryozoan larvae, decapod larvae, *Evadne* and *Corycaeus*) from
335 25 selected taxa showing significant positive correlations ($p < 0.05$). The lowest
336 synchrony was found between B35 and SH and between U35 and L4, with only one
337 taxon that correlated positively in each case (gastropod larvae and chaetognaths,
338 respectively). Between B35 and L4 two taxa correlated positively (cladocerans and
339 appendicularians) and another one negatively (bivalve larvae); between U35 and SH
340 cirripede larvae correlated positively and bryozoan larvae negatively, and between L4
341 and SH cladocerans, bivalve larvae and echinoderm larvae correlated positively.

342 Significant correlations between zooplankton taxa and environmental variables, i.e.
343 water temperature and Chl *a*, at an interannual scale were very scant and unrelated
344 between sites. At SH, *Oncaea* and decapod larvae correlated positively with
345 temperature ($p = .030$ and $p = .019$, respectively), and bryozoan larvae and PCPCalanus
346 correlated negatively with Chl *a* ($p = .001$ and $p = .019$, respectively). At L4, *Calanus*
347 correlated positively with temperature ($p = .039$) and PPCalanus correlated negatively
348 with temperature and Chl *a* ($p = .047$ and $p = .011$, respectively). At U35 no taxa
349 correlated with temperature nor Chl *a*, and at B35 only bryozoan larva correlated
350 positively with Chl *a* ($P = .034$).

351 **Seasonal patterns**

352 ***Total zooplankton, chlorophyll a and temperature***

353 The monthly mean values and the seasonal variability (dimensionless) of total
354 zooplankton abundance, Chl *a* concentration and temperature at the four sites, for the
355 period 1999-2013 are shown in Figure 6. Zooplankton seasonal patterns of abundance

356 showed differences between sites, although in all of them total zooplankton reached the
357 minimum value during late autumn-early winter. At U35 the main peak of zooplankton
358 abundance was observed in early spring, with a maximum mean value of 10494 ± 15159
359 ind. m^{-3} in late March. Thereafter the density decreased progressively in a stair-step
360 manner until January. At this site the average pattern of seasonal variability
361 (dimensionless), however, evidenced a bimodal cycle with a secondary peak in late
362 summer. At the other three sites the seasonal pattern of abundances matched that of the
363 dimensionless seasonal variability values. At B35 three peaks were observed, i.e. in
364 early spring (late March), early summer (late June) and early autumn (late September).
365 The annual maximum was in early summer, when zooplankton mean density increased
366 up to $9657 \pm 7132 \text{ ind. m}^{-3}$. At L4 a clear bimodal pattern with two similar peaks in
367 spring (April) and summer (August) were observed, although the highest mean value
368 ($5519 \pm 2672 \text{ ind. m}^{-3}$) was obtained for the spring peak. In SH the seasonal pattern was
369 characterized by a unimodal cycle with an annual maximum of $5237 \pm 2337 \text{ ind. m}^{-3}$ in
370 summer (July-August), although the stair-step form suggests two consecutive periods
371 for zooplankton increase in spring and summer.

372 The monthly mean values and the dimensionless variability of Chl *a* showed very
373 similar seasonal patterns at each site, but clear differences between sites. Two Chl *a*
374 peaks were distinguished at B35 (a small one in early spring and the highest in
375 summer), U35 (the major one in early spring and a secondary one in late summer) and
376 L4 (in April and August with similar magnitudes). An extended single peak in late
377 spring (in May-June) was observed at SH, but the stair-step shape of the decrease in
378 August suggests the masking of a secondary peak in summer. Overall, B35 was the site
379 that showed the highest maximum monthly mean value of Chl *a* ($4.95 \pm 4.58 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and
380 U35 the lowest. Maximum monthly mean values of Chl *a* showed a small increase from
381 U35 ($1.944 \pm 2.05 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$), to L4 ($2.26 \pm 1.42 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and to SH ($2.70 \pm 1.29 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$).

382 Monthly mean values of water temperature showed the expected decrease with latitude.
383 B35 and U35 were the warmest sites, with very similar values that ranged from around
384 $12.4 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in January-February to around $21.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in August. L4 showed intermediate
385 values of temperature, ranging from $8.9 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in March to $15.6 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ in August, and SH was
386 the coldest with the minimum ($6.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) in March and the annual maximum ($13.1 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) in
387 September. The standard dimensionless variability of temperature evidenced that
388 warming and cooling timings differed between sites according to latitude, both warming
389 and cooling being earliest at B35 and U35 and latest at SH.

390 ***Zooplankton taxa***

391 The clustering of zooplankton taxa according to their patterns of seasonal variability
392 obtained from data pooled for the four sites (Figure 7) showed five different taxa
393 assemblages with similarity levels between 60% and 80%. The highest resemblance
394 levels were found in the assemblage constituted by cladocerans, *Evadne*, *Podon*,
395 appendicularians and *Acartia*. The contour plots of the dimensionless seasonal
396 variability of these taxa at different sites (Figure 8), showed a seasonal progression
397 related to latitude, with annual maxima in early spring (late March) at U35, in late
398 spring-early summer (May-July) at L4 and in summer (July-August) at SH. At B35 the
399 components of this assemblage showed the annual maxima in late spring-early summer
400 (late May-late June), except for *Acartia* with maximum values in early spring (late
401 March). Echinoderm larvae were related to this assemblage because their highest annual
402 values also showed a delay with latitude from U35 and B35 in spring to L4 and SH in
403 summer. Later in the year, the assemblage constituted by bivalve larvae, gastropod
404 larvae and *Centropages* also showed a seasonal delay of the annual maximum value
405 along the latitudinal gradient, from U35 in spring-summer (late March or late June) to
406 L4 and SH in summer-autumn (June-September). At B35 they reached maxima in
407 summer (late June-July). The group composed by *Calanus*, *Temora*, decapod larvae,
408 PCPCalanus, copepods and *Oithona* were characterised in most cases by bimodal
409 patterns, (or trimodal patterns at B35), in which the time lag between the earliest and the
410 latest peaks of abundance decreased with increasing latitude. The first peak tended to
411 occur progressively later from U35 and B35 (late February-April) to SH (May) while
412 the last one generally was delayed from SH (July-September) to U35 and B35 (late
413 August to late October) in most cases. In the components of this assemblage, however,
414 no clear relationship of the major annual peak with latitude was observed. The annual
415 maximum was found in the earliest peak or in the latest one, and even in the
416 intermediate peak at B35, depending on the taxon and the site. Cases with no clear
417 differences between the two seasonal peaks were also observed, mainly at L4. Overall,
418 the last peak was clearly the major one in more cases at SH (*Calanus*, *Temora*, decapod
419 larvae, copepods and *Oithona*) and B35 (*Temora*, decapod larvae, PCPCalanus and
420 *Oithona*) than at U35 (*Temora*, PCPCalanus). Conversely, the first peak was clearly the
421 major one for some taxa at U35 (copepods and *Oithona*). In PCPCalanus the importance
422 of the first peak decreased from SH to B35. The annual maximum of polychaete larvae
423 occurred earlier than in the above mentioned taxa at U35 and B35 (late February) and it

424 was delayed to June at L4 and July at SH. Siphonophores and hydromedusae showed
425 bimodal cycles with the annual maxima in spring (late April-May) at U35 and B35, and
426 unimodal cycles at L4, with the annual maximum of siphonophores in September and
427 that of medusae in July. However, at SH the annual maximum was in September for
428 siphonophores and in April for medusae. In contrast, bryozoan larvae and fish eggs and
429 larvae showed annual maxima earlier at L4 and SH (in March and April, respectively)
430 than at U35 and B35 (late April-late June), and cirripede larvae reached annual maxima
431 in March-April at SH, L4 and U35, while they occurred markedly later at B35 (late
432 June). Finally, doliolids, *Oncaea*, chaetognaths and *Corycaeus* were differentiated from
433 the other taxa because they reached annual maxima at all the sites in the second half of
434 the year, with the exception of *Oncaea* at SH. Doliolids and chaetognaths showed a
435 marked seasonality with maxima in the August-September period at all sites, while
436 *Oncaea* and *Corycaeus* peaked from late July to September at the different sites but
437 showed a second large peak in winter at SH.

438 Figure 9 shows for each month of the year the number of taxa with annual maxima at
439 that month. The highest number of zooplankton taxa maxima occurred in early spring at
440 U35, in early summer at B35, in mid summer at L4 and in late summer at SH. In
441 addition, the distribution of annual maxima occurrences was skewed towards spring at
442 U35 and L4 and towards autumn at B35 and SH. In holoplankton groups, a clear
443 reduction of the peaking period along the year with increasing latitude was observed
444 from U35 (6 months, from March to August) to L4 (5 months, from May to September)
445 and to SH (3 months, from July to September). However, no clear latitudinal patterns
446 were observed for the seasonal span of annual maxima of meroplankton groups and
447 cladoceran-copepod genera. At B35 the seasonal span of holoplankton group maxima
448 was longest (7 months, from March to September), and holoplankton groups,
449 meroplankton groups and cladoceran-copepod genera showed the highest similarity in
450 their seasonal spans of annual maxima.. Overall, annual maxima of meroplankton
451 groups tended to occur earlier than those of holoplankton groups at all sites. However,
452 the largest difference was observed at SH where most meroplankton groups showed
453 annual maxima in April-June and most holoplankton groups in August-September, and
454 the smaller difference at U35 and B35 where most of meroplankton and holoplankton
455 groups showed annual maxima in the same season. A latitudinal progression in the
456 distribution of annual maxima of cladoceran-copepod genera was also observed from
457 U35 (highest number of maxima in spring) to SH (highest number of maxima in

458 summer) with L4 at a middle position (similar number of maxima in spring and
459 summer). At B35, the highest number of annual maxima occurred in early summer and
460 early autumn for key genera.

461 **DISCUSSION**

462 **Scales of variability**

463 Between-site differences of the interannual, seasonal and residual components of
464 zooplankton taxa variability were not related to latitude, since all three components of
465 zooplankton variability showed the lowest values at the intermediate latitude site (L4),
466 and the ones from the mesotrophic site (B35) did not differ from those of the other three
467 sites, except the interannual differences with U35.

468 Overall, the residual component was the highest at all sites, as it has also been found for
469 many coastal phytoplankton series across the world (Cloern and Jassby, 2010). This
470 suggests that the main component of variability in plankton series can be attributed
471 either to events occurring at scales shorter than the seasonal and/or to strong year to
472 year changes of the seasonal pattern, as observed in the annual cycles of total
473 zooplankton in the four sites studied (Figure 10). The greater residual component of
474 zooplankton taxa variability at B35 and U35 than at L4 and SH might be attributable to
475 some extent to differences in sampling frequency (monthly at B35 and U35 and weekly
476 at L4 and SH), because single measurements as estimators of monthly mean values can
477 contribute to increase the residual component of variability in plankton time series
478 (Cloern and Jassby, 2010). However, the effect of natural (tides, river discharge) and
479 anthropogenic stressors that act at shorter time scales than the seasonal cycle on
480 zooplankton dynamics may have had a stronger effect in coastal sites associated to
481 estuaries (B35 and U35) than in further offshore sites (L4 and SH). In fact, U35 is
482 subject to strong tidal mixing and transport and B35 to the effect of the nutrient-rich
483 estuarine plume from the estuary of Bilbao, factors which have been found to determine
484 phytoplankton and dissolved oxygen dynamics at these sites (Villate et al., 2008; 2013,
485 Iriarte et al, 2010; 2014). Zooplankton time series with a temporal resolution shorter
486 than the monthly scale are required to resolve clearly these event-scale variations (Jiang
487 et al., 2007).

488 In general, the seasonal component of variability of zooplankton was higher than the
489 interannual in the present study. And this seems to be the most common pattern reported
490 for coastal plankton, since it has been found both for phytoplankton biomass from many

491 different coastal sites (Cloern and Jassby, 2010; Zingone et al., 2010) and for
492 zooplankton taxa abundance (Bode et al., 2013). However, the predominance of
493 seasonal/interannual variations of coastal/estuarine phytoplankton biomass has been
494 shown to vary geographically (Cloern and Jassby, 2010) and for zooplankton
495 abundance, in agreement with our findings, it has been shown to vary among taxa from
496 the same site (Licandro et al., 2001). When comparing the sites, interannual variability
497 was higher in the zooplankton of the southern sites of the Bay of Biscay (U35 and B35)
498 than in the English Channel (L4) and the North Sea (SH) sites. However, year-to-year
499 fluctuations of total and most zooplankton taxa were not higher at the sites located in
500 the Bay of Biscay than at the other sites if we exclude the atypically high values
501 obtained in 2012 at the sites of the Bay of Biscay, especially at the U35 site. Abrupt
502 increases in one year can result in large interannual variability (Cloern and Jassby,
503 2010), so this isolated event seems responsible for the higher values of the interannual
504 component of the zooplankton taxa series of the Bay of Biscay. Marked fluctuations of
505 mean zooplankton abundance between years have also been found at high latitude
506 around Iceland (Gislason et al., 2009), so there seems to be no evidence that the
507 magnitude of interannual variations is linked to latitude.

508 The seasonal component of zooplankton taxa variability was higher at the
509 northernmost site (SH) than at the other ones. However, the expected decrease of the
510 seasonal component with decreasing latitude was not observed because the lowest
511 variability was at the intermediate latitude site (L4). Latitude appears as a determinant
512 factor of the seasonal component of phytoplankton biomass when a wide latitudinal
513 range and many cases are considered (Cloern and Jassby, 2010), but in our case the
514 lowest seasonal component at L4 is in agreement with the most equitable distribution of
515 zooplankton taxa maxima along the year and the bimodal cycle with less pronounced
516 changes of total zooplankton and many zooplankton taxa at this site.

517 **Interannual variations**

518 Strong atmospheric forcing can lead to population fluctuations that have synchronicity
519 across wide areas (Atkinson et al. 2004, Kang and Ohman 2014). The fact that we did
520 not observe this reflects a prevalence of local-scale effects.. The number of zooplankton
521 taxa with synchronous interannual variation across sites was very low in general, and
522 did not differ clearly from pairs of sites with similar interannual variability of
523 temperature (B35-U35, and L4-SH), to pairs of sites with uncorrelated year-to-year

Comment [AA11]: Is this what you mean?

Comment [AA12]: I dont know what you mean with this sentence. Can you re-word it?

Comment [AA13]: I suggests that you look at the NE Atlantic literatura and quote some NAO relationships?

524 temperature variations. The finding of the highest number of taxa with synchronous
525 year-to-year variations between U35 and B35 seems the result of the geographic
526 proximity of these two nearby sites rather than the response to interannual variations of
527 temperature. The low correlations observed between zooplankton components and
528 climatic variables in other zooplankton series of nearby coastal areas also suggest that
529 local factors play a major role accounting for interannual abundance changes (Bode et
530 al., 2013).

Comment [AA14]: Need to clarify a mechanism, eg their sharing larger-scale populations etc

531 As for zooplankton, no synchronous year-to-year variations of phytoplankton biomass
532 (chlorophyll *a*) were observed between the four sites, and they were found to be related
533 to temperature only at L4. A lack of relationship between series of phytoplankton
534 biomass and of key environmental factors such as temperature may be expected when
535 changes in the environmental variable are below the response threshold of
536 phytoplankton or when other relevant factors have not been considered (Zingone et al.,
537 2010). For instance, the lack of relationship between phytoplankton biomass and
538 temperature at the coastal U35 site was attributed to the effect of nutrient limitation in
539 summer, that distorts the enhancement of phytoplankton biomass by temperature, which
540 can be observed at the non-nutrient limited lower salinity sites within the estuary of
541 Urdaibai (Villate et al. 2008).

Comment [AA15]: Can you simplify this sentence?

542 The unusually high abundance of total zooplankton observed at U35 and B35 in 2012 as
543 a result of the noticeable increase of copepods such as *Acartia*, PCPCalanus and
544 *Oithona*, appendicularians and bivalve larvae could be considered as one of the so-
545 called “surprises” that long-term observations may provide due to the multiple stressors
546 that may interact to cause change (Cloern et al., 2015), and corroborates the importance
547 of local or region-specific physical processes in disturbing the range of interannual
548 fluctuations of zooplankton abundance. The 2012 season is reported as a peculiar in the
549 southern part of the Bay of Biscay, where the average positive values of the upwelling
550 index for February and March were far from normal (Rodriguez et al., 2015) and
551 climate anomalies were found to be responsible for exceptional blooms of *Dinophysis*
552 *acuminata* in the southeastern area (Diaz et al., 2013). Sudden increases of similar
553 magnitude in year-to-year zooplankton abundance have been reported for other
554 zooplankton series like those of the mouth of the Ria de Vigo, where hydrodynamic
555 factors driven by meteo-climatic conditions are claimed to be very important in the
556 control of zooplankton fluctuations at the interannual scale (Buttay et al., 2015). If the
557 local event affecting U35, and to a lesser extent B35, in 2012 is excluded, the

558 asynchronous and regular interannual fluctuations of zooplankton taxa at all sites
559 suggest that there were no large-scale forcings able to produce synchronous remarkable
560 changes in the four zooplankton monitoring sites.

561 The mesotrophic site of Bilbao differed from the other sites by the clear increasing
562 trends of total zooplankton and many zooplankton taxa, in parallel to the decreasing
563 trend of phytoplankton biomass, and may be related to the rehabilitation process that
564 this anthropized system is undergoing in the last decades, after the construction of a
565 waste water treatment plant and the decline of the industrial activity in the area (Borja et
566 al., 2010). The observed decrease in nutrient loads of human origin in the system during
567 the studied period (Villate et al., 2013) could account for the decrease in phytoplankton
568 biomass. Accordingly, the B35 site illustrated during our study period a process of
569 oligotrophication similar to that reported for other phytoplankton time series in coastal
570 areas upon the implementation of nutrient load reductions (Mozetič et al. 2010;
571 Nishikawa et al. 2010; Zingone et al., 2010). Bottom-up control has been claimed to be
572 the cause of zooplankton density increase in some time-series (Steinberg et al., 2012),
573 however, the decreasing trend of Chl *a* at B35 excludes the bottom-up control as a
574 likely mechanism driving the increase in zooplankton at this site. Similarly, very few
575 cases of synchrony were found between zooplankton taxa and temperature or
576 phytoplankton biomass at each site, this reinforcing the idea that year-to-year changes in
577 zooplankton might be primarily driven by a combination of stressing forces that differ
578 locally.

579 The higher interannual coherence of cladocerans with *Evadne* and of copepods with
580 PCPCalanus, *Acartia* and *Oithona* revealed which genera had a greater contribution to
581 the broad category in which they are included, but also that the main copepod genera
582 showed a rather high interannual coherence at each site, enhanced by the sudden
583 increase of all of them in 2012 at the sites located in the southeast Bay of Biscay.

584 **Seasonal patterns**

585 Average seasonal patterns of total zooplankton abundance, phytoplankton biomass and
586 some zooplankton taxa appeared to be related to latitude in a clear way, and showed the
587 expected delay of the spring peak and the advancement of the late peak from the
588 southernmost site (U35) to the northernmost one (SH), in agreement with the principle
589 that spring processes tend to occur earlier and autumn processes later in the year with
590 increasing temperature (Richardson, 2008)

Comment [AA16]: Is this the correct Word. All 4 sites have much higher chl a values than central ocean gyres

Comment [AA17]: No that's phenology shift directions with a warming climate

591 In most plankton taxa with bimodal patterns at the four sites, the lowest differences in
592 the magnitude of both peaks was observed at L4, although generally the early peak was
593 slightly higher than the late one, in agreement with previous reports (e.g. John et al.,
594 2001; Eloire et al. 2010). At this site, the April peak of zooplankton abundance has been
595 associated to the spring bloom of phytoplankton biomass dominated by diatoms and the
596 second one to the bloom of dinoflagellates occurring at the beginning of autumn
597 (Widdicombe et al., 2010). In contrast, the bimodal patterns at U35 showed clear
598 differences in magnitude from the spring maximum to the secondary peak. In both sites
599 (L4 and U35), however, the spring peak of both zooplankton abundance and
600 phytoplankton biomass occurred simultaneously, in April or late March, while the late
601 zooplankton peak occurred earlier (August) than that of phytoplankton. The decrease of
602 phytoplankton biomass between the first and the second peak is related to nutrient
603 limitation associated to the summer stratification (Atkinson et al., 2015; Villate et al.,
604 2008).

605 Five main assemblages of zooplankton taxa were distinguished in relation to their mode
606 of seasonal behaviour with latitude: (1) taxa that showed a similar seasonal pattern at all
607 latitudes, (2) taxa that showed a delay in the seasonal distribution at the southernmost
608 sites, (3) taxa that showed a delay in their seasonal distribution with increasing latitude,
609 (4) taxa with bimodal cycles that showed a delay of the early peak during the first half
610 of the year but an advance of the late peak during the second half of the year with
611 increasing latitude, or a convergence of the bimodal cycles to unimodal ones at the
612 northernmost site, and (5) taxa with bimodal cycles at the northernmost site that become
613 unimodal ones with decreasing latitude. Total zooplankton abundance and
614 phytoplankton biomass followed the first and second alternatives of mode 4 of seasonal
615 behaviour with latitude, respectively.

616 Coincident seasonal distribution at all latitudes was observed in a low number of taxa
617 characterized by peaks early or late in the year, e.i. cirripede larvae in March-April and
618 doliolids and chaetognaths in August-September. Cirripede larvae comprise the most
619 abundant meroplanktonic component of the mesozooplankton at all four sites studied
620 (Bresnan et al., 2015; Highfield et al., 2010; Villate, 1989-1990; 1991). Although there
621 is no information on the specific composition of cirripede larvae at the sites we studied,
622 several studies on the benthic populations and larvae settlement seasonality (Crisp et al.,
623 1981; Kendall and Bredford, 1987; O'Riordan et al., 2004) indicate the presence of
624 different barnacle species distributed along a latitudinal gradient from the north of

625 Scotland to the south of Portugal, with *Balanus balanoides* as the species restricted to
626 the northernmost area, and the dominant cogeneric species *Chthamalus montagui* and
627 *Chthamalus stellatus* showing extensive geographical overlap, but with the distribution
628 of the first one being skewed towards the south. However, no marked seasonal
629 differences in the spawning have been found, and the highest abundance of cirripede
630 larvae during March and April at all the sites seems to be the response to the same
631 external stimulus such as the timing of phytoplankton increase from late winter-early
632 spring (Starr et al., 1991; Highfield et al. 2010). The coincidence of the annual
633 maximum of doliolids in late summer agrees with the fact that doliolid development
634 occurs at the highest annual temperatures and is favoured by the stratification of the
635 water column (Menard et al., 1997), factors that may be responsible for the
636 synchronization of the seasonal pattern of doliolids, in spite of the between-site
637 differences in temperature. The coincidence of the seasonal distribution of chaetognaths,
638 despite the different composition observed at the three latitudes (dominance of
639 *Parasagitta friderici* in the southern Bay of Biscay, of *P. setosa* in the English Channel
640 and of *P. elegans* in the northern North Sea), suggests that regardless of the species
641 involved, the chaetognath seasonal distribution may be driven by trophic parameters of
642 the seasonal plankton succession. Studies on the diet of *P. friderici* (Vega-Pérez and
643 Liang, 1992; Gibbons and Stuart, 1994), *P. setosa* (Tönnesson and Tiselius, 2005) and
644 *P. elegans* (Falkenhaus, 1991; Sullivan, 1980) reveal that their development depends on
645 the abundance of small copepods from nauplii to calanoid copepodites, with preference
646 for cyclopoids (*Oithona*, *Oncaea*, *Corycaeus*) and small calanoids (*Paracalanus*,
647 *Pseudocalanus*, early stages of *Calanus*). So, the annual cycle of chaetognaths appears
648 to be linked to the annual distribution of small copepods that peak in the warmest
649 period.

650 The annual maxima of bryozoan larvae and ichthyoplankton were delayed at the
651 southernmost sites of the Bay of Biscay. No information about the composition of
652 bryozoans is available, but in the case of the ichthyoplankton latitudinal differences may
653 be related to compositional differences that involve differences in the seasonal
654 spawning behaviour. In the North Sea, where the SH site is located, sandeels
655 (*Ammodytidae*) are found at high concentration in the east coast of Scotland and herring
656 (*Clupeidae*) increase northwards (Edwards et al., 2011). In the southern areas of the Bay
657 of Biscay, however, the most numerous ichthyoplankton species are sardine (*Clupeidae*)
658 and anchovy (*Engraulidae*), this last one being a species more restricted to the Bay of

659 Biscay, and spawning together with mackerel in warmer conditions than other co-
660 occurring species (Edwards et al., 2011; Ibaibarriaga et al., 2007). This may account for
661 the delay of fish egg and larvae maxima at U35 and B35, where the high presence of
662 anchovy in the ichthyoplankton of the marine zone of the estuaries of Urdaibai and
663 Bilbao have already been reported (Villate, 1989-1990; 1991)

664 Among the zooplankton taxa showing a delay of the annual maxima with latitude, we
665 have the spring-summer assemblage constituted by cladocerans and their genera *Podon*
666 and *Evadne*, the copepod *Acartia* and appendicularians. All are major taxa at these sites
667 (Bresnan et al., 2015; Eloire et al., 2010; Villate et al., 2004) and showed a general
668 delay of the annual maxima from early spring at U35 to late summer at SH. Because
669 *Evadne nordmanni* and *Acartia clausi* are the dominant species of the respective genera
670 at all sites, the latitudinal differences in their seasonal pattern may reflect a specific
671 temperature optima. This was evident mainly for *A. clausi* which maintains the seasonal
672 maximum in late March (even at the mesotrophic B35), with temperature values near
673 the annual minimum (12.4 °C) at the southernmost sites, and near the annual maximum
674 (13 °C) at the northernmost site of SH. Anyway, *A. clausi* is found to present allopathic
675 populations with different temperature responses because of the different temperature
676 regimes they are subjected to (Leandro et al., 2006).

677 In the taxa assemblage characterized by bimodal annual cycles with a delay of the early
678 peak during the first half of the year and an advance of the late peak during the second
679 half, or a convergence of the bimodal cycle into a unimodal one at the northernmost
680 site, the latitudinal differences in the magnitude of early and late peaks in some cases
681 were mainly related to compositional differences. For instance, *Temora* showed peaks
682 close in time of rather similar magnitude at SH and L4, where *Temora longicornis* was
683 the only (SH) or the clearly dominant (L4) *Temora* species, but peaks widely separated
684 in time at U35 and B35, where the first peak was due to *T. longicornis* while the second
685 one was much higher than the first one and was mostly due to the more abundant *T.*
686 *stylifera*. This is a summer-autumn species that has become the dominant *Temora*
687 species in coastal waters of the southeastern Bay of Biscay since the late 1980s (Villate
688 et al., 1997). Later it experienced a northward advance, reaching the English Channel
689 (Lindley and Daykin, 2005). Similarly, the PCPCalanus is an abundant group of related
690 small calanoids dominated by *Pseudocalanus elongatus* in the northernmost site (SH)
691 but by *Paracalanus parvus* in the southernmost sites of U35 and B35. This last species
692 was responsible for the much higher magnitude of the second peak of PCPCalanus at

693 U35 and B35. *P. elongatus*, by contrast, is the dominant copepod at SH, particularly
694 during the spring period (Bresnan et al., 2015), and is slightly more abundant than *P.*
695 *parvus* at L4, where *P. elongatus* peaks in spring and *P. parvus* in autumn (Eloire et al.,
696 2010). In the case of *Oithona*, the clear bimodal cycle at U35, becomes unimodal at SH,
697 likely as a result of the delay with increasing latitude of the annual maximum of
698 *Oithona similis*, which is the dominant *Oithona* species at U35, the only one reported at
699 L4 (Castellani et al., 2016), and, therefore, the expected species also at SH. *Oithona*
700 *nana* has only been recorded at the southernmost sites (U35 and B35), where it appeared
701 as the main species responsible for the second annual *Oithona* peak at these sites.
702 In contrast, *Oncaea* and *Corycaeus* showed bimodal cycles at the northernmost site
703 (SH), and unimodal at the southernmost one (U35). In agreement with previous reports
704 by Eloire et al. (2010) for *Ditrichorycaeus anglicus* and *Oncaea* spp., our results
705 showed a skewed distribution of *Corycaeus* and *Oncaea* towards autumn and winter at
706 L4 and SH in a similar way, which is likely related to the later cooling of water at the
707 latter sites as compared to U35 and B35.

708 The seasonal distribution of the annual maxima of zooplankton taxa was related to
709 latitude in three main aspects: (1) the delay in the timing of the highest number of taxa
710 annual maxima from early spring at the southernmost oligotrophic site (U35) to late
711 summer at the northernmost site (SH), (2) the shortening of the seasonal span of
712 holoplankton taxa maxima from 6 months at the southernmost oligotrophic site (U35) to
713 3 months at the northernmost site (SH), and (3) the decrease of the overlap of periods of
714 holoplankton annual maxima and meroplankton annual maxima from the southernmost
715 oligotrophic site (U35) to the northernmost site (SH). The seasonal distribution of
716 phytoplankton biomass may be partly responsible for those three patterns. The delay in
717 the timing of the highest number of taxa annual maxima is associated to the timing of
718 the annual maximum of phytoplankton biomass. The northward shortening of the period
719 of the year in which zooplankton taxa maxima occur also seems to be linked to the
720 seasonality of phytoplankton, since such period is shortest at SH coinciding with a later
721 diatom bloom and the annual maximum of dinoflagellates in summer, which merges the
722 chlorophyll maximum of late spring-early summer (Bresnan et al., 2015) and may
723 favour the grouping of zooplankton abundance in summer. The decreasing overlap of
724 holoplankton taxa maxima and meroplankton taxa maxima periods northward is mainly
725 due to the shortening of the period of holoplankton taxa maxima and might be also
726 linked to different responses to phytoplankton availability. So the occurrence of

727 meroplankton taxa maxima distributed over a wide year period suggest that the
728 spawning behaviour of different benthic populations is not only dependent on
729 phytoplankton abundance directly but also on phytoplankton composition or physical
730 factors like temperature as reported in other studies (Starr et al., 1992; 1993; Highfield
731 et al., 2010).

732 Results revealed a clear effect of the trophic status on the seasonal patterns of
733 abundance and distribution of the annual maxima of zooplankton taxa when comparing
734 the mesotrophic site of B35 and the nearby oligotrophic site located at the same latitude
735 (U35). The most evident effect was the delay of the annual maximum from early spring,
736 mid spring, late spring or early summer at the oligotrophic site to late spring, summer
737 or early autumn at the mesotrophic site in many taxa such as cirripede larvae,
738 cladocerans and their dominant genera *Evadne* and *Podon*, appendicularians, bivalve
739 larvae, decapod larvae, PCPCalanus and *Oithona*. By contrast, only *Oncaea* showed a
740 slight advance of the annual maximum from mid autumn at the oligotrophic site to early
741 autumn at the mesotrophic one. Another remarkable effect was the transformation of
742 some bimodal cycles at the oligotrophic site into trimodal cycles at the mesotrophic site,
743 as this was observed in the dominant group of copepods and some of their main
744 components (PCPCalanus and *Oithona*). As a result of the abovementioned changes in
745 the seasonal pattern of many taxa, most of the zooplankton taxa reached annual maxima
746 in early spring at the oligotrophic site but in early summer at the mesotrophic site. The
747 seasonal variations of zooplankton in Atlantic temperate marine systems are primarily
748 linked to temperature and phytoplankton availability (e.g. Feng et al., 2014), but
749 temperature does not show differences between U35 and B35, and consequently the
750 differences in the seasonality of zooplankton taxa seem to be mainly attributable to the
751 modified annual cycle of phytoplankton biomass and composition at the mesotrophic
752 site by human eutrophication (Garmendia et al., 2012;13). The chlorophyll *a* annual
753 cycle at the oligotrophic site (U35) showed the classical bimodal cycle, with maximum
754 values during the spring bloom and the subsequent summer decrease due to nutrient-
755 limitation, as it has been generically modelled for other nearby continental shelf areas of
756 the southern Bay of Biscay (Stenseth et al., 2006), while at the mesotrophic site (B35)
757 the standard cycle of Chl *a* showed a extended period of high concentration with the
758 maximum value in summer and a lower peak corresponding to the spring bloom. The
759 specific composition of holoplanktonic taxa such as cladocerans, *Evadne*, *Podon*,
760 appendicularians and PCPCalanus and *Oncaea* does not differ between sites, so the

761 delay in their maximum abundances at B35 in relation to U35 is because their
762 populations are able to reach higher densities after the spring bloom as a result of the
763 maintenance of a nutritionally rich environment until autumn. *Oithona* was the
764 exception, since in this case the delay of the annual maximum from early spring at U35
765 to early autumn at B35 was due to the dominance of *Oithona similis* at U35 and
766 *Oithona nana* at B35. *O. similis* is a spring peaking species that may be limited at high
767 (>20°C) temperatures (Castellani et al., 2016), while *O. nana* increase is associated with
768 high temperature and chlorophyll concentration, and to eutrophized/polluted systems
769 (Arfi et al, 1981; Villate, 1991; Jamet et al., 2001).

770 SUMMARY (CONCLUSIONS)

771 None of the between-site differences in interannual, seasonal or residual components of
772 zooplankton variability related to latitude since all of them were lowest at the
773 intermediate latitude site of the English Channel (L4). The highest interannual
774 variability at the southern site of U35 was related to a local event within the Bay of
775 Biscay that promoted an abrupt increase of total zooplankton and many relevant taxa in
776 2012 at this site, and to a lesser extent at B35. The seasonal component was highest at
777 the northernmost site of Stonehaven due to the higher recurrence and amplitude of
778 seasonal variations in many taxa. The highest residual component of zooplankton taxa
779 at B35 and U35 was attributable to the lower sampling frequency and the higher level of
780 natural and anthropogenic disturbance in comparison to the offshore sites of L4 and SH.
781 The lack of coherence in the interannual variability of zooplankton between the four
782 sites suggests that local factors rather than large-scale atmosphere-ocean forcing were
783 the main drivers of the year-to-year dynamics of shelf zooplankton abundance within
784 our study area during the period under study (1999-2013). The marked increase of total
785 zooplankton and several taxa in 2012 at U35, and to a lesser extent at B35, was not
786 observed in L4 and SH, thus denoting the local nature of this event. Therefore, the main
787 interannual differences in zooplankton abundance between sites were not attributable to
788 latitude-linked effects.

789 Seasonally the latitudinal effect on zooplankton dynamics was mainly shown by the
790 delay of the annual maximum or the early peak from spring at the southernmost site to
791 summer at the northernmost site in many taxa, accompanied by the advance in the
792 timing of the later peak northwards in taxa showing bimodal cycles, this resulting in
793 some cases in a unimodal cycle at the northernmost site. However, there were some taxa

Comment [AA18]: The discussion is very long and somight lose readers. Please try to reduce this, for instance this last section reiterates the Abstract. Perhaps you could just condense to a shortparagraph empahsising the imporance of inshore time series for the MSFD, and for distinguishing climatic varibility and change-induced perturbations fromacute effects from man (purpose of MSFD). For example you can cite the 2012 anomalies and the fact that this paper defines envelopes of variability.

794 showing early or late annual maxima that maintained very similar seasonal patterns at
795 all latitudes. Another interesting finding was the shortening of the period within which
796 holoplankton groups peaked, and the increase in the temporal segregation of the peaking
797 periods of meroplankton groups (earlier) and holoplankton groups (later) with
798 increasing latitude.

799 The trophic status affected the seasonal pattern of many zooplankton taxa in different
800 ways, i.e. the bimodal cycle of some taxa in oligotrophic conditions became trimodal in
801 mesotrophic conditions, and most taxa reached annual maxima in spring, associated to
802 the spring phytoplankton bloom, in oligotrophic conditions, while most taxa reached
803 annual maxima in summer, which is the period of highest phytoplankton biomass in
804 anthropogenically enriched conditions.

805 **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 806 Atkinson, A., Harmer, R.A., Widdicombe, C.E., McEvoy, A.J., Smyth, T.J., Cummings,
807 D.G., Somerfield, P.J., Maud, J.L., McConville, K. 2015. Questioning the role of
808 phenology shifts and trophic mismatching in a planktonic food web. *Progress in*
809 *Oceanography* 137: 498–512
- 810 Arfi, R., Champalbert, G., Patrìti, G., 1981. Systeme planctonique et pollution urbaine:
811 un aspect des populations zooplanctoniques. *Marine Biology* 61, 133–141.
- 812 Bode, A., Álvarez-Ossorio, M.T., Miranda, A., Ruiz-Villarreal, M. 2013. Shifts between
813 gelatinous and crustacean plankton in a coastal upwelling region. *ICES Journal of*
814 *Marine Science*, 70 (5): 934-942
- 815 Borja, A., D.M. Dauer, M. Elliott, C. Simenstad, 2010b. Medium and long-term
816 recovery of estuarine and coastal ecosystems: patterns, rates and restoration
817 effectiveness. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 33(6): 1249-1260.
- 818 Bray, J.R., Curtis, J.T., 1957. An ordination of the upland forest communities of
819 Southern Wisconsin. *Ecological Monographs* 27, 325e349.
- 820 Bresnan, E., Cook, K.B., Hughes, S.L., Hay, S.J., Smith, K., Walsham, P., Webster, L.
821 2015. Seasonality of the plankton community at an east and west coast monitoring
822 site in Scottish Waters. *Journal of Sea Research*
- 823 Buttay, L., Miranda A., Casas G., González-Quirós R., Nogueira E. Long-term and
824 seasonal zooplankton dynamics in the northwest Iberian shelf and its relationship
825 with meteo-climatic and hydrographic variability. *Journal of Plankton Research*.
826 2015, doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbv100
- 827 Castellani, C., Licandro, P., Fileman, E., di Capua, I., Mazzocchi M.G., 2016. *Oithona*
828 *similis* likes it cool: evidence from two long-term time series. *Journal of Plankton*
829 *Research*, fbv104
- 830 Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 2006. *Changes in Marine Communities: An Approach to*
831 *Statistical Analysis and Interpretation*. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, 172
832 pp.
- 833 Cloern, J.E. 1996. Phytoplankton bloom dynamics in coastal ecosystems: A review with
834 some general lessons from sustained investigation of San Francisco Bay, California.
835 *Reviews of Geophysics* 34: 127–168.

836 Cloern, J., Jassby, A. 2010. Patterns and Scales of Phytoplankton Variability in
837 Estuarine–Coastal Ecosystems: Estuaries and Coasts, 33(2): 230-241.

838 Cloern, J.E., Abreu, P.C., Carstensen, J., Chauvaud, L., Elmgren, R., Grall, J.,
839 Greening, H., Johansson III, J.O.R., Kahru, M., Sherwood, E.T., Xu, J., Yin, K.
840 2015. Human Activities and Climate Variability Drive Fast-Paced Change across the
841 World’s Estuarine-Coastal Ecosystems. Global Change Biology. DOI:
842 10.1111/gcb.13059

843 Crisp, D.J., Southward, A.J., Southward, E.C. 1981. On the distribution of the intertidal
844 barnacles *Chthamalus stellatus*, *Chthamalus montagui* and *Euraphia depressa*.
845 Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, **61**, :359-380.

846 Cushing, D. H. (1990). Plankton production and year-class strength in fish populations:
847 an update of the match/mismatch hypothesis. Adv mar. Biol. 26: 249-293

848 Díaz, P.A., Reguera, B., Ruiz-Villarreal, M., Pazos, Y., Velo-Suárez, L., Berger, H.,
849 Sourisseau, M. 2013. Climate variability and oceanographic settings associated with
850 interannual variability in the initiation of *Dinophysis acuminata* blooms. Mar. Drugs,
851 11(8): 2964–2981

852 Durant, J. M., Hjermmann, D. Ø., Ottersen, G., & Stenseth, N. C. (2007). Climate and the
853 match or mismatch between predator requirements and resource availability. Climate
854 Research, 33(3), 271–283.

855 Edwards M, Helaouet P, Halliday N, Beaugrand G, Fox C, Johns DG, Licandro P,
856 Lynam C, Pitois S, Stevens D, Coombs S. 2011. Fish larvae atlas of the NE Atlantic.
857 Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science (SAHFOS) & Centre for
858 Environment Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS). Plymouth, UK, 22 pp

859 Eloire, D., P. J. Somerfield, D. V. P. Conway, C. Halsband-Lenk, R. Harris, and D.
860 Bonnet. 2010. Temporal variability and community composition of zooplankton at
861 station L4 in the Western Channel: 20 years of sampling. J. Plankton Res. 32:657-
862 679

863 Falkenhaus, T. 1991: Prey composition and feeding rate of *Sagitta elegans* var *arctica*
864 (Chaetognatha) in the Barents Sea in early summer. Polar Research 10 (2): 487-506.

865 Feng, J., Stige, L.Ch., Durant, J.M., Hessen, D.O., Zhu, L., Hjermmann, D.Ø., Llope, M.,
866 Stenseth, N.Ch. 2014. Large-scale season-dependent effects of temperature and
867 zooplankton on phytoplankton in the North Atlantic. Marine Ecology Progress Series
868 502: 25–37,

869 Garmendia, M., Bricker, S., Revilla, M., Borja, Á., Franco, J., Bald, J., Valencia, V.,
870 2012. Eutrophication assessment in Basque estuaries: comparing a North American
871 and a European method. Estuaries and Coasts 35, 991–1006.

872 Garmendia, M., Borja, Á., Franco, J., Revilla, M. 2013. Phytoplankton composition
873 indicators for the assessment of eutrophication in marine waters: Present state and
874 challenges within the European directives. Marine Pollution Bulletin 66, 7–16.

875 Gibbons, M.J., Stuart, V. 1994. Feeding and vertical migration of the chaetognath *Sagitta*
876 *friderici* (Ritter-Zahony, 1911) in the Southern Benguela during spring 1987, with
877 notes on seasonal variability of feeding ecology. S. Afr. J. Mar. Set, 14, 361-37

878 Gislason A., Petursdottir H., Astthorsson O. S., Gudmundsson K., Valdimarsson H.
879 2009. Inter-annual variability in abundance and community structure of zooplankton
880 south and north of Iceland in relation to environmental conditions in spring 1990–
881 2007. J. Plankton Res., 31, 541–551.

882 Highfield J. M., Eloire D., Conway D., Lindeque, P.K., Attrill, M.J., Somerfield, P.J.
883 2010. Seasonal dynamics of meroplankton assemblages at station L4. J. Plankton
884 Res. 32:681-691.

885 Haurly, L. R., McGowan, J. A., Wiebe, P. H. (1978). Patterns and processes in the time-

886 space scales of plankton distributions. In: Steele, J. H. (ed.) Spatial pattern in
887 plankton communities. Plenum Press, New York, p. 277-327

888 Heinrich KJ. (1962) The life histories of plankton animals and seasonal cycles of
889 plankton communities in the oceans. *Cons. Int Explor. Mer*, 27,15-24.

890 Ibaibarriaga, L, Irigoien, X., Santos, M., Motos, L., Fives, J.M., Franco, C., Lago de
891 Lanzos, A., Acevedo, S., Bernal, M., Bez, N., Eltink, G., Farinha, A., Hammer, c.,
892 Iversen, S.a., Milligan, S.P. Reid, D.G. 2007. Egg and Larval Distributions of Seven
893 Fish Species in North-East Atlantic Waters. *Fisheries Oceanography* 16(3): 284–93

894 Iriarte, A., Aravena, G., Villate, F., Uriarte, I., Ibañez, B., Llope, M., Stenseth, N., 2010.
895 Dissolved oxygen in contrasting estuaries of the Bay of Biscay: effects of
896 temperature, river discharge and chlorophyll *a*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 418, 57e71.

897 Iriarte A, Villate F, Uriarte I, Alberdi L, Intxausti L. 2014. Dissolved oxygen in a
898 temperate estuary: the influence of hydro-climatic factors and eutrophication at
899 seasonal and inter-annual time scales. *Estuar Coast.* 38(3):1000–1015.

900 Jamet, J.L., Bogé, G., Richard, S., Geneys, C., Jamet, D., 2001. The zooplankton
901 community in bays of Toulon area (northwest Mediterranean Sea, France).
902 *Hydrobiol.* 457, 155–165.

903 Jeffrey, S.W.; Mantoura, R.F.C. (1997). Development of pigment methods for
904 oceanography: SCOR-supported working groups and objectives, *in: Jeffrey, S.W. et*
905 *al. (Ed.) Phytoplankton pigments in oceanography: guidelines to modern methods.*
906 *Monographs on Oceanographic Methodology*, 10: pp. 19-36

907 Jiang, S., Dickey, T.D., Steinberg, D.K., Madin, L.P. 2007. Temporal variability of
908 zooplankton biomass from ADCP backscatter time series data at the Bermuda
909 Testbed Mooring site. *Deep-Sea Research I* 54: 608–636

910 John, EH; Batten, SD; Harris, RP; Hays, GC. 2001 Comparison between zooplankton
911 data collected by the Continuous Plankton Recorder survey in the English Channel
912 and by WP-2 nets at station L4 Plymouth (UK). *Journal of Sea Research*, 46: 223 –
913 232

914 Kang, Y.S., Ohman M.D. 2014. Comparison of long-term trends of zooplankton from
915 two marine ecosystems across the North Pacific: Northeastern Asian marginal sea
916 and Southern California current system. *California Cooperative Oceanic Fisheries*
917 *Investigations Reports.* 55:169-182.

918 Kendall, M.A. & Bedford, M.L. (1987) Reproduction and recruitment of the barnacle
919 *Chthamalus montagui* at Aberystwyth (mid-Wales). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*,
920 38, 305–308.

921 Leandro, S.M., Queiroga, H., Rodríguez-Graña, L., Tiselius P. 2006. Temperature-
922 dependent development and somatic growth in two allopatric populations of Acartia
923 clausi (Copepoda: Calanoida). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 322, 189-197

924 Licandro P., Conversi, A., Ibanez, F., Jossi, J. 2001. Time series analysis of interrupted
925 long-term data set (1961-1991) of zooplankton abundance in the Gulf of Maine
926 (northern Atlantic, USA). *Oceanologica Acta*, 24 (5): 453-466.

927 Lindley, J.A. eta Daykin, S. 2005. Variations in the distributions of *Centropages*
928 *chierchiae* and *Temora stylifera* (Copepoda: Calanoida) in the north-eastern Atlantic
929 Ocean and western European shelf waters. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 62 869-
930 877.

931 Liu, H., Fogarty, M.J., Hare, J.A., Hsieh, Ch., Glaser, S.M., Ye, H., Deyle, E., Sugihara,
932 G. 2014. Modeling dynamic interactions and coherence between marine zooplankton
933 and fishes linked to environmental variability. *Journal of Marine Systems* 131 (2014)
934 120–129

935 Longhurst, A., 1998. *Ecological Geography of the Sea.* Academic Press, San Diego,

936 California.

937 Marine Zooplankton Colloquium 1, 1989. Future marine zooplankton research - a
938 perspective. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 55: 197-206.

939 Ménard F., Fromentin J.M., Goy J., Dallot S. (1997). Temporal fluctuations of Doliolid
940 abundance in the bay of Villefranche-sur-Mer (North Western Mediterranean Sea)
941 from 1967 to 1990. Oceanologica Acta: 20 (5): 733-742.

942 Mozeti, P., Solidoro, C., Cossarini, G., Socal, G., Precali, R., Francé, J., Bianchi, F.,
943 Vittor, C., Smodlaka, N., Fonda Umani, S. 2010. Recent trends towards
944 oligotrophication of the northern Adriatic: evidence from chlorophyll a time series.
945 Estuaries and Coasts 33: 362-375.

946 Nishikawa, T., Hori, Y., Nagai, S., Miyahara, K., Nakamura, Y., Harada, K., Tanda, M.,
947 Manabe, T., Tada, K. 2010. Nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics in Harima-Nada,
948 eastern Seto Inland Sea, Japan during a 35-year period from 1973 to 2007. Estuaries
949 and Coasts, 33: 417-427

950 O'Brien, T. D., Wiebe, P.H., Falkenhaus, T. (Eds). 2013. ICES Zooplankton Status
951 Report 2010/2011. ICES Cooperative Research Report No. 318. 208 pp.

952 O'Riordan RM, Arenas F, Arrontes J, Castro JJ and others (2004) Spatial variation in
953 the recruitment of the intertidal barnacles *Chthamalus montagui* Southward and
954 *Chthamalus stellatus* (Poli) (Crustacea: Cirripedia) over an European scale. J Exp
955 Mar Biol Ecol 304:243–264

956 Rees, A.P., Hope, S.B., Widdicombe, C.E., Dixon, J.L., Woodward, E.M.S.,
957 Fitzsimons, M.F., 2009. Alkaline phosphatase activity in the western English
958 Channel: elevations induced by high summertime rainfall. Estuarine Coastal and
959 Shelf Science 81, 569–574.

960 Richardson, A. J. 2008. In Hot Water: Zooplankton and Climate Change. ICES Journal
961 of Marine Science 65: 279-295.

962 Ribera d'Alcalà, M., Conversano, F., Corato, F., Licandro, P., Mangoni, O., Marino, D.,
963 Mazzocchi, M.G., Modigh, M., Montresor, M., Nardella, M., Saggiomo, V., Sarno,
964 D., Zingone, A. 2004. Seasonal patterns in plankton communities in a pluriannual
965 time series at a coastal Mediterranean site (Gulf of Naples): An attempt to discern
966 recurrences and trends. Sci. Mar. 68: 65–83.

967 Rodriguez, J.M., Cabrero, A., Gago, J., Guevara-Fletcher, C., Herreros, M., Hernandez
968 de Rojas, A., Garcia, A., Laiz-Carrión, R., Vergara-Castaño, A., Piñeiro, C.,
969 Saborido-Rey, F. 2015. Vertical distribution and migration of fish larvae in the NW
970 Iberian upwelling system during the winter mixing period: implications for cross-
971 shelf distribution. Fish Oceanogr 24: 274–290

972 Southward, A.J., Langmead, O., Hardman-Mountford, N.J., Aiken, J., Boalch, G.T.,
973 Dando, P.R., Genner, M.J., Joint, I., Kendall, M., Halliday, N.C., Harris, R.P.,
974 Leaper, R., Mieszkowska, N., Pingree, R.D., Richardson, A.J., Sims, D.W., Smith,
975 T., Walne, A.W., Hawkins, S.J., 2005. Long-term oceanographic and ecological
976 research in the western English Channel. Advances in Marine Biology 47, 1–105.

977 Starr, M., Himmelman, J.H., Therriault, J.C. 1991. Coupling of nauplii release in
978 barnacles with phytoplankton blooms: a parallel strategy to that of spawning in
979 urchins and mussels. J. Plankton Res., 13: 561–571.

980 Starr, M., Himmelman, J.H., Therriault, J.C. 1992. Isolation and properties of a
981 substance from the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricorutum* which induces spawning in
982 the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 79: 275–
983 287.

984 Starr, M., Himmelman, J.H., Therriault, J.C. 1993. Environmental-control of green sea-
985 urchin, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*, spawning in the St-Lawrence Estuary.

- 986 Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci., 50, 894–901.
- 987 Steinberg, D.K., Lomas, M.W., Cope, J.S. 2012. Long-term increase in
988 mesozooplankton biomass in the Sargasso Sea: Linkage to climate and implications
989 for food web dynamics and biogeochemical cycling, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 26,
990 GB1004, doi:10.1029/2010GB004026.
- 991 Stenseth, N.C., Llope, M., Anadón, R., Ciannelli, L., Chan, K.S., Hjermann, D.O.,
992 Bagøien, E., Ottersen, G., 2006. Seasonal plankton dynamics along a cross-shelf
993 gradient. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273, 2831-2838.
- 994 Sullivan, B.K. 1980. In situ feeding behavior of *Sagitta elegans* and *Eukronia hamafa*
995 (Chaetognatha) in relation to the vertical distribution and abundance of prey at Ocean
996 Station “P”. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 25. 317-326.
- 997 Tønnesson, K., Tiselius, P. 2005. Diet of chaetognaths *Sagitta setosa* and *S. elegans* in
998 relation to prey abundance and vertical distribution. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*
999 289: 81-95.
- 1000 Vega-Pérez, L.A., Liang, T.H. 1992. Feeding of a pelagic chaetognath, *Sagitta friderici*
1001 Ritter-Záhony off Ubatuba region (São Paulo, Brazil). *Bolm Inst. oceanogr., S Paulo*,
1002 40(1/2): 93-100.
- 1003 Villate, F. (1989-1990) Zooplanktoni buruzko aurreikerketa koantitatiboa Gernika-
1004 Mundakako itsasadarrean (A preliminary quantitative study of the zooplankton in the
1005 estuary of Gernika-Mundaka). *Munibe*, 41, 3–30 (in Basque with English abstract).
- 1006 Villate, F., 1991. Annual cycle of zooplankton community in the Abra harbour (Bay of
1007 Biscay): abundance, composition and size spectra. *Journal of Plankton Research* 13,
1008 691–706.
- 1009 Villate, F., Moral, M., Valencia, V. 1997. Mesozooplankton community indicates
1010 climate changes in a shelf area of the inner Bay of Biscay throughout 1988 to 1990.
1011 *Journal of Plankton Research* 19 1617-1636.
- 1012 Villate F, Uriarte I, Irigoien X, Beaugrand G, Cotano U. 2004. Zooplankton
1013 communities. In: Borja A, Collins M (eds) *Oceanography and marine environment of*
1014 *the Basque country*. Elsevier. *Oceanography Series*, vol 70. pp 395–423
- 1015 Villate, F., Aravena, G., Iriarte, A., Uriarte, I. 2008. Axial variability in the relationship
1016 of chlorophyll *a* with climatic factors and the North Atlantic Oscillation in a Basque
1017 coast estuary, Bay of Biscay (1997-2006). *Journal of Plankton Research* 30 1041-
1018 1049.
- 1019 Villate, F., Iriarte, A., Uriarte, I., Intxausti, L., de la Sota, A., 2013. Dissolved oxygen in
1020 the rehabilitation phase of an estuary: influence of sewage pollution abatement and
1021 hydro-climatic factors. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 70, 234e246.
- 1022 Widdicombe C. E., Eloire D., Harbour D., Harris, R.P., Somerfield, P.J. 2010. Long-
1023 term phytoplankton community dynamics in the Western English Channel. *J.*
1024 *Plankton Res.* 32: 643-655.
- 1025 Zaldivar, J.-M., Cardoso, A.C., Viaroli, P., Newton, A., deWit, R., Ibanez, C.,
1026 Reizopoulou, S., Somma, F., Razinkovas, A., Basset, A., Jolmer, M., Murray. N.
1027 2008. Eutrophication in transitional waters: an overview. *Transit Waters Monogr* 1:
1028 1–78.
- 1029 Zingone A., Philips E. J., Harrison P. J. 2010. Multiscale variability of twenty-two
1030 coastal phytoplankton time series: a global scale comparison. *Estuaries and Coasts*
1031 33: 224-229.