Seasonal changes in plankton respiration and bacterial metabolism in a temperate Shelf Sea.
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Abstract

Seasonal variability between November 2014, April 2015 and July 2015 in plankton respiration and bacterial metabolism is reported for the upper and bottom mixing layers at two stations in the Celtic Sea, UK. Depth-integrated microplankton community respiration (considered as the respiration of plankton < 5 mm) (CR\(_{O2}\)) within the upper mixing layer showed strong seasonal changes with maximum values in April (169 ± 5 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and a minima in November (27 ± 5 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). Rates of respiration and (gross) primary production rates (\(^{14}\)C-PP) showed different seasonal variability, resulting in seasonal changes in \(^{14}\)C-PP:CR\(_{O2}\) ratios. In April, the system was net autotrophic (\(^{14}\)C-PP:CR\(_{O2}\) > 1), with a surplus of organic matter available for export, while in July balanced metabolism occurred (\(^{14}\)C-PP:CR\(_{O2}\) = 1) due to an increase in microplankton respiration and a decrease in (gross) primary production. Changes in microplankton respiration were mainly driven by changes in the respiration of the >0.8 µm size fraction. Monthly average upper mixing layer depth-integrated heterotrophic bacterial respiration rates (considered to be the respiration measured in the 0.2-0.8 µm size fraction) were similar in November and April (27 ± 2 and 28 ± 3 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), respectively) and lowest in July (13 ± 2 mmol O\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). The percentage of microplankton respiration attributable to bacteria was higher in November (38 ± 2 %) than in April (26 ± 3 %) or July (20 ± 2 %). Bacterial production also showed a strong seasonality, with maximum values in July (16.6 ± 0.3 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and minima in November (4.3 ±0.1 mmol C m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). The greater variability in bacterial production compared to bacterial respiration drove seasonal changes in bacterial growth efficiencies, which had maximum values of 71 ± 4% in July and minimum values of 18 ± 2% in November. The observed seasonality in microplankton community respiration and bacterial metabolism were best described in distance-based redundancy analysis by a combination of temperature, nitrate+nitrite, silicate and ammonium concentrations, each having a different
relative importance in the different months. Interestingly, changes in bacterial carbon demand were independent of the amount of dissolved organic carbon produced by phytoplankton.

Microplankton community respiration and bacterial production were higher in the upper mixing layers than in the bottom mixing layers (between 3 and 9-fold for microplankton community respiration and 3 and 7-fold for bacterial production) in November, April and July. However, the rates of bacterial respiration were not statistically different (paired t-test, \( p > 0.05 \)) between the two mixing layers in any of the three sampled seasons. These results highlight that, contrary to previous results in Shelf seas, the production of CO\(_2\) by the microplankton community in upper mixing waters, which is then available to degas to the atmosphere, is greater than the respiratory production of dissolved inorganic carbon in deeper waters, which contributes to offshore export.
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Introduction

Shelf seas are regions of significant primary production and carbon export from continental areas to the deep ocean (Thomas et al. 2004, Carlson et al. 2010). Particulate and dissolved organic carbon is synthesized in the upper surface layers by plankton, as well as being introduced from continental runoff and atmospheric deposition. Once in the upper mixing layer, organic carbon can be consumed, transformed, or transported to depth. The amount of organic carbon annually exported from the upper mixing layer depends on the efficiency of remineralization in the upper mixing layer. Between 1 % and 40 % of primary production is exported from the euphotic layer (Herndl and Reinthaler 2013), with less than 5 % ultimately buried in shelf sea sediments (de Haas et al. 2002). This implies high rates of respiration also occur below the surface mixing layer (Thomas et al. 2004). Despite their importance in the degradation of organic matter, and therefore export, the magnitude and variability of plankton and bacterial respiration is much less well understood than that of phytoplankton production in coastal and shelf seas.

The Celtic Sea is a north western European shelf sea characterized by winter vertical mixing, reduced vertical mixing in spring associated with an increase in phytoplankton abundance, and thermal stratification in summer (Pingree 1980, Joint et al. 1986). The Celtic Sea has been the subject of several physical and biogeochemical studies. The most extensive was conducted by Joint et al. (2001) and focused on plankton activity, measuring pelagic primary production, bacterial production, microzooplankton respiration and potential sedimentation. Since then, several studies have described the physicochemical characteristics that regulate primary production in stratified waters (Hickman et al. 2012), photoacclimation and photoadaptation by phytoplankton (Moore et al. 2006), the distribution and survival of plankton in the thermocline (Sharples 2001), and the effect of resuspension of nutrients from
sediments on the abundance and productivity of phytoplankton and bacteria (Davidson et al. 2013).

However, despite the importance of plankton respiration and bacterial growth efficiencies (BGE, defined as bacterial production divided by the sum of bacterial production and bacterial respiration) to the transfer of organic carbon produced by phytoplankton to deeper waters (Legendre et al. 2015), plankton community respiration was not measured in any of the former studies in this region. In fact, there are relatively few studies which determine the seasonal variability in plankton community respiration and bacterial growth efficiencies in temperate shelf seas (Blight, et al. 1995, Serret et al. 1999, Arbones et al. 2008). These seasonal studies reported peaks in plankton community respiration in spring and summer, associated with higher phytoplankton production (Blight, et al. 1995, Serret et al. 1999, Arbones et al. 2008). The close coupling between primary production and respiration implies that the synthesis of organic matter by the phytoplankton is linked with higher phytoplankton respiration and/or stimulates heterotrophic plankton community (Blight et al. 1995) and bacterial respiration (Lemée et al. 2002). The newly produced organic matter also enhances bacterial production which drives an increase in BGE (Lemée et al. 2002, Reinthaler and Herndl 2005).

The relative magnitude of primary production, plankton respiration and bacterial growth efficiency in the upper and bottom mixing layers of shelf seas determines the efficiency of export from the surface layers, and potential sequestration to the sediment or transfer off shelf. These metabolic processes are influenced by environmental conditions such as temperature and the availability of dissolved inorganic and organic nutrients (Elser et al. 1995, López-Urrutia and Morán 2007, Lee et al. 2009, Kritzberg et al. 2010), but there is no clear consensus as to which environmental factors most influence the individual processes in natural waters.
The aim of this study was to quantify any difference in microplankton community respiration (considered as the respiration of plankton smaller than 5 mm), bacterial respiration and bacterial production rates between the upper and bottom mixing layers of the Celtic Sea, and to assess how environmental and biological conditions (temperature, nutrient concentration, chlorophyll-\(a\) concentration) influence microplankton respiration, bacterial metabolism and bacterial growth efficiency. Data from a central shelf station were compared with data from a station close to the shelf edge to assess the potential influence of different ocean dynamics on microplankton community respiration and bacterial metabolism.

Material and Methods

2.1 Study site and sampling procedure

Water samples were collected during three cruises in the Celtic Sea as part of the UK Shelf Sea Biogeochemistry program (see Sharples et al., this issue). This study was conducted at two stations: one at the Central Celtic Sea (CCS, 49.39 °N latitude, 8.58 °W longitude), with a maximum depth of 143 m, and another at the Shelf Edge (CS2), a station with a maximum depth of 200 m and situated on the shelf edge (48.57 °N latitude, 9.5 °W longitude) (see Figure in Sharples et al., this issue). CCS was sampled on 4 days in November 2014 (10\(^{th}\), 12\(^{th}\), 22\(^{nd}\), 25\(^{th}\)), on 6 days in April 2015 (4\(^{th}\), 6\(^{th}\), 11\(^{th}\), 15\(^{th}\), 20\(^{th}\), 25\(^{th}\)) and on 3 days in July 2015 (14\(^{th}\), 24\(^{th}\), 29\(^{th}\)). CS2 was sampled on 2 occasions in November 2014 (18\(^{th}\), 20\(^{th}\)), 2 occasions in April 2015 (10\(^{th}\), 24\(^{th}\)) and once during July 2015 (19\(^{th}\)). At each station water samples were collected pre-dawn (~01:00 – 04:00 GMT) from 7 depths with 20-L Niskin bottles mounted on a sampling rosette to which was attached a conductivity-temperature-depth profiler (Sea-Bird Electronics, Washington, USA). Six of these sample depths were in the upper mixing layer (UML) at 60%, 40%, 20%, 10%, 5% and 1% of surface irradiance (\(I_0\))
Light sampling depths were estimated by back calculation of the vertical attenuation coefficient of PAR ($K_d$, $m^{-1}$) based on either (a) assuming that the base of the thermocline was at or close to the 1% $I_0$ (November, April), or (b) that the sub-surface chlorophyll-a maximum was at or close to a depth of 5% $I_0$ (July) (see Hickman et al., 2012, Poulton et al., this issue). The other sample depth was at 10-20 m below the base of the thermocline and within the bottom mixing layer (BML) at irradiances <0.1 % $I_0$. The horizon between the UML and the BML was identified by the depth of the base of the thermocline (Fig. 1). Sea water was carefully decanted from the Niskin bottles into 10 L carboys for subsequent determination of microplankton community respiration derived from both dissolved oxygen consumption and the reduction of 2-($\rho$-iodophenyl)-3-($\rho$-nitrophenyl)-5phenyl tetrazolium chloride (INT). Water samples for the determination of chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), (gross) primary production ($^{14}$C-PP), phytoplankton production of dissolved organic carbon ($p$DOC), bacterial production (BP) and bacterial abundance (BA) were also taken, when possible, from the same Niskin bottles as the samples collected for the determination of microplankton community respiration. Water samples for determination of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON) were collected at the same time and from the same depths, but from an adjacent Niskin bottles. The full sampling procedure for the determination of nutrients and Chl-a concentration can be found in Hickman et al. (this issue), for bacterial abundance in Tarran et al. (this issue), and for the concentration of DOC and DON in Davis et al. (this issue). A summary of the sampling and analytical protocol is also reported here.

### 2.2 Nutrients, total chlorophyll $a$ and bacterial abundance

Nitrate+nitrite, ammonium, phosphate and silicate concentrations were determined using a Bran & Luebbe AAIII segmented flow colourimetric autoanalyser (Brewer and Riley 1965,
Grasshoff 1976, Kirkwood 1989). Water samples were collected directly from the Niskin bottles at each station and analysed within 1-2 hours of sampling. Samples for total Chl-a were collected from the UML by filtering 200-250 mL of sea water through 25 mm diameter Fisherbrand MF300 or Whatman GF/F filters (effective pore size for both 0.7 µm). After filtration, pigments were extracted in 90 % acetone for 18-20 h in the dark at 4 °C. Chlorophyll-a concentration was determined fluorometrically on a Turner Trilogy fluorometer calibrated against a pure Chl-a extract (Sigma) (see also Hickman et al., this issue).

Samples for the enumeration of heterotrophic bacteria were collected from the Niskin bottles into clean 250 mL polycarbonate bottles. Subsamples were then pipetted into 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes and fixed with glutaraldehyde (50%, TEM grade, 0.5% final concentration) within 30 minutes of collection. After fixing for 30 min at 4 °C, samples were stained with SYBR Green I DNA dye (Invitrogen) for 1 h at room temperature in the dark and analysed immediately for bacterial abundance (BA) by flow cytometry (Tarran et al., this issue).

2.3 Dissolved organic carbon and total dissolved nitrogen

Sea water samples for measurement of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN) were collected from between 3 and 5 sampling depths which corresponded to those sampled for microplankton community respiration, as detailed below. Samples were filtered through pre-combusted (450 °C) GF/F filters (Whatman, nominal pore size 0.7 µm) under low vacuum pressure (< 10 mmHg) and preserved with 20 µL of 50 % (v/v) hydrochloric acid. Samples were analysed onshore using high temperature catalytic oxidation (HTCO) on a Shimadzu TOC-VCPN. The limits of detection for DOC and TDN were 3.4 µmol L⁻¹ and 1.8 µmol L⁻¹ respectively, with a precision of 2.5 %. Consensus Reference
Materials from the Hansell Laboratory, University of Miami, were analysed daily with a mean and standard deviation for DOC and TDN of 43.9 ± 1.2 µmol L\(^{-1}\) (expected range 42 – 45 µmol L\(^{-1}\); \(n = 39\)) and 32.9 ± 1.7 µmol L\(^{-1}\) (expected range 32.25 – 33.75 µmol L\(^{-1}\)), respectively. Concentrations of dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) were determined by subtracting the concentration of inorganic nitrogen (nitrate, nitrite, ammonium) from TDN concentrations (Davies et al., this issue).

### 2.4 Primary production and production of dissolved organic carbon

The six sampling depths for \(^{14}\)C-PP were all within the UML (five of which corresponded to depths sampled for microplankton community respiration) and \(p\)DOC was measured at three of these depths. The \(p\)DOC depths corresponded to the depth at which surface irradiance was attenuated to 60 %, 20 % and 1 % in November and April, and to 60 %, 5 % and 1 % of surface irradiance in July, to account for the potential role of the sub-surface chlorophyll maximum (~5 % surface irradiance; Hickman et al., 2012).

For carbon fixation and \(p\)DOC, water samples were collected into four 70 mL polycarbonate bottles (3 light, 1 dark), and spiked with 6-11 µCi carbon-14 labelled sodium bicarbonate. The bottles were then incubated in a purpose built constant temperature containerised laboratory at a range of seasonally adjusted irradiance levels using LED light panels and neutral density filters (see Poulton et al., this issue).

On termination of the incubation, a 5 mL sub-sample from the four bottles was filtered through 25 mm 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters, with the filtrates then transferred to 20 mL scintillation vials for the determination of \(p\)DOC. To remove the dissolved inorganic \(^{14}\)C, 100 µL of 50 % HCl was added to each vial, which were then sealed with a gas-tight rubber septum (Kimble-Kontes) and a centre well (Kimble-Kontes) containing a CO\(_2\) trap.
(consisting of a Whatman GFA filter soaked with 200 µL β-phenylethylamine). After 12 hours, the CO₂ traps were removed and disposed of, and 15 mL of Ultima Gold (Perkin-Elmer, UK) liquid scintillation cocktail was added to the filtrate. Spike activity was checked following Mayers et al. (this issue) and activity in the filtrate was determined in a Tri-Carb 3100TR Liquid Scintillation Counter. Rates of pDOC were determined from these incubations using methods adapted from López-Sandoval et al. (2011) and Poulton et al. (2016).

The remaining 65 mL samples from the four bottles were then filtered through 25 mm 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters (Nucleopore™, USA), with extensive rinsing to remove unfixed ¹⁴C-labelled sodium bicarbonate and 12 mL of Ultima Gold (Perkin-Elmer, UK) liquid scintillation cocktail added. The activity on the filters was determined using a Tri-Carb 3100TR Liquid Scintillation Counter on-board. Daily rates of primary production were scaled up from short-term (6-8 h, dawn to midday) rates of carbon fixation to seasonally adjusted day lengths (9 h November, 14 h April and 16 h July). These daily rates of ¹⁴C-PP (see also García-Martín et al., this issue), based on short-term (<8 h) incubations, better approximate “gross” primary production, whilst daily rates presented in companion papers (Mayers et al., this issue; Poulton et al., this issue; Hickman et al., this issue), based on long-term (24 h) incubations, better approximate “net” primary production (see e.g. Marra, 2002).

### 2.5 Respiration derived from dissolved oxygen consumption

Samples for daily microbial respiration were collected from 5 depths in the UML and one depth in the BML. Daily microplankton community respiration (CR$_{O_2}$) was determined by measuring the decrease in dissolved oxygen after 24 h dark bottle incubations. Dissolved oxygen concentration was measured by automated Winkler titration performed with a
Metrohm 765 burette to a photometric end point (Carritt and Carpenter 1966). Ten
gravimetrically calibrated 60 mL borosilicate glass bottles were carefully filled with seawater
from each 10 L carboy. Water was allowed to overflow during the filling, and care was taken
to prevent bubble formation in the silicone tube. Five bottles were fixed at the start of the
incubation (“zero”) with 0.5 mL of 3 M manganese sulphate and 0.5 mL of 4 M sodium
iodide/8 M sodium hydroxide solution (Carritt and Carpenter 1966). The other five bottles
were placed underwater in darkened temperature controlled incubators located in a
temperature controlled room for 24 hours (“dark”). The incubation temperatures were ±1.0
°C of the in situ temperature. Bottles were removed from the incubators after 24 hours and
the samples fixed as described for the “zero” bottles above. All bottles were analysed
together within the next 24 hours. Daily microplankton community respiration was calculated
from the difference in oxygen concentration between the mean ± standard error (±SE) of the
replicate “zero” measurements and the mean ±SE of the replicate “dark” measurements, and
is reported with ± SE. Microplankton community respiration in moles of C was calculated
from the CR$_{O2}$ rates by applying a respiratory quotient of 1.

### 2.6 Respiration derived from INT reduction

Samples for respiration derived from INT reduction were collected from the same 6 depths as
for CR$_{O2}$. Five 200 mL dark glass bottles were filled with seawater from each 10 L carboy.
The samples in two of these bottles were immediately fixed by adding formaldehyde (2% w/v
final concentration) and used as controls. All five bottles were inoculated with a sterile
solution of 7.9 mM 2-(p-iodophenyl)-3-(p-nitrophenyl)-5phenyl tetrazolium chloride salt
(INT) to give a final concentration of 0.8 mM. The solution was freshly prepared for each
experiment using Milli-Q water. The INT samples were incubated in the same temperature
controlled incubators as the dissolved oxygen bottles for 0.5 to 1.4 h and then the three
replicates were fixed by adding formaldehyde, as described above for the two controls. Samples were sequentially filtered through 0.8 µm and onto 0.2 µm pore size polycarbonate filters, air-dried, and stored frozen in 1.5 mL cryovials at –20 °C until further processing. The INT reduced in each fraction (i.e. >0.8 µm and 0.2-0.8 µm) was determined from the absorbance at 485 nm of the reduced INT (formazan), extracted with propanol and measured in quartz cuvettes using a Beckman model DU640 spectrophotometer following Martínez-García et al. (2009). The mean of the INT reduction in the two controls was subtracted from the INT reduction measured in the three incubated replicates, thus correcting for any interference of the absorbance of the water due to turbidity and reduction of INT caused by non-metabolic factors (i.e. organic matter content) (average 52 ± 1 % of absorbance in the incubated samples). The rate measured in the large size-fraction (INT_{>0.8}) will result mainly from INT reduction by eukaryotes and particle attached bacteria. By contrast, the main respiring organisms in the small size-fraction (INT_{0.2-0.8}) would be heterotrophic bacteria. The total microplankton community respiration (INT_{T}) is calculated as the sum of the INT reduction in the two size fractions (INT_{0.2-0.8} and INT_{>0.8}).

Time-course experiments were carried out on seawater collected from 5 m on the 11th November 2014, 4th April 2015 and 14th July 2015 in order to determine the optimal incubation time for INT reduction. The maximum incubation time before the INT became toxic for the plankton (seen as a decrease in the INT reduction rate due to the negative effect on cell activity of the intracellular deposition of formazan) was found to be 2, 1 and 1 h, in November, April and July respectively. Hence, all our incubations were undertaken for shorter times than these (<1.4 h <0.8 h, <0.5 h, respectively). INT reduction was converted into dissolved oxygen consumption using the equation: moles O_{2} = 2.82*molesINT^{0.806} derived from the comparison of CR_{O2} and INT_{T} rates from this study ($R^2 = 0.43$, $p <0.0001$, $n = 97$, Fig. 2). Heterotrophic bacterial respiration in moles of C was calculated from the
reduction rates converted into units of dissolved oxygen consumption and applying a respiratory quotient of 1.

2.7 Heterotrophic bacterial production and bacterial growth efficiency

Water samples for heterotrophic bacterial production (BP) were collected from the same 6 Niskin bottles as the samples for determination of microplankton community and bacterial respiration detailed above, into 125 mL acid washed polycarbonate bottles. Aliquots of 10 µL $^{14}$C leucine working solution (0.04 MBq mL$^{-1}$) were pipetted into 2 mL sterile centrifuge tubes with 1.6 mL of sample water and mixed. For each depth, duplicate samples were incubated for 0, 1, 2 and 3 h in the dark at temperatures representative of the depth of collection. Samples were fixed with 80 µL of 20 % paraformaldehyde (final concentration of 1 %). The duplicate samples were filtered onto 0.2 µm polycarbonate filters pre-soaked in 1 mM non-labelled leucine on top of a 25 mm GF/F filter as a backing filter. Each 0.2 µm polycarbonate filter was placed into a scintillation vial, dried overnight at room temperature in a fumehood and mixed with 4 mL of Optiphase Hi-Safe II scintillation fluid. Radioactivity in the samples was measured using a Beckman Coulter LS6500 liquid scintillation counter. Bacterial population growth (cells m$^{-3}$ d$^{-1}$) was calculated from $^{14}$C leucine incorporation using a theoretical approach assuming no isotope dilution (Kirchman 2001).

Cell-specific bacterial production and respiration were calculated by normalizing BP and INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ to BA, respectively. Bacterial carbon demand (BCD) was calculated as: BP + INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ and bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) as: BP/BCD.

2.8 Data analysis
Depth-integrated Chl-\(a\), \({}^{14}\text{C-PP}\), CR\(_{O2}\), INT\(_T\), INT\(_{0.8}\), INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\) and BP rates were calculated by trapezoidal integration of the volumetric rates measured in the UML. The standard errors (± SE) of the integrated rates were calculated following the propagation procedure for independent measurements described by Miller and Miller (1988). The depth-integrated contribution of the 0.2-0.8 µm fraction to total microplankton community respiration (%INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\)) was calculated as the depth-integrated INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\) divided by the depth-integrated INT\(_T\) and multiplied by 100.

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistical software on log-transformed data where necessary. A two-way ANOVA was used to determine the effects of month and station and any interacting effects between these two factors on BA, CR\(_{O2}\), INT\(_T\), INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), %INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\) and BP. Paired t-tests were performed to verify significant differences between CR\(_{O2}\), INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), %INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), BP, cell-specific INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\) and cell-specific BP in the UML and BML.

In order to be able to compare the two layers, the UML depth-integrated rate was divided by the depth of integration to derive the rate per cubic metre (weighted metabolic rate).

Spearman non-parametric correlation tests were used to determine the relationship between volumetric BA, CR\(_{O2}\), INT\(_T\), INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), BP, BCD and BGE and between each of these and environmental parameters (temperature, nitrate+nitrite concentration, phosphate concentration, silicate concentration, Chl-\(a\) concentration and \(p\text{DOC}\)). Non-parametric multivariate techniques were used with the PRIMER v 6.1 statistical package to discern station grouping based on the microplankton autotrophic metabolic rates (\({}^{14}\text{C-PP}, p\text{DOC}\)), microplankton heterotrophic metabolic rates (CR\(_{O2}\), INT\(_{>0.8}\), INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), %INT\(_{0.2-0.8}\), BP and BGE) and to relate these to the environmental data (temperature, nitrate+nitrite, phosphate, silicate concentration, Chl-\(a\), bacterial abundance, DOC and DON concentration). A Bray-Curtis similarity matrix was constructed from the standardized data of the microplankton metabolic parameters and Euclidean distances were calculated on the normalized
environmental data. Sampling days were classified using distance based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) (Legendre & Anderson, 1999). A distance-based linear model (distLM) was used to analyse the relationships between microplankton metabolism and environmental parameters.

RESULTS

3.1 Hydrographic conditions

A full description of the hydrographic and nutrient conditions present in the Celtic Sea during the sampling period (November 2014, April 2015 and July 2015) is reported in Poulton et al., (this issue), Humphreys et al., (this issue) and Wihsgott et al., (this issue) and a brief overview given in Table 1.

The seasonal variability in hydrography followed the typical progression for temperate shelf seas. November was characterized by thermal homogeneity of the upper 55 m of the water column with weak stratification occurring in deeper waters. These conditions are typical for a late summer-early autumn situation when the complete disruption of the summer thermocline has not yet occurred. During November, upper mixing layer temperatures were 12 to 14 °C and salinity was slightly lower at the surface than in deeper waters (difference <0.1). There was a weak thermocline at the beginning of April at 65 m which was strengthened by the end of April (Table 1, Wihsgott et al., this issue). Temperatures in April (ranging from 9.8 – 11.2 °C) were lower than in November (11.2 – 13.7 °C) with warmer waters at the surface and colder waters at depth. Thermal stratification prevailed during July with sea surface temperatures >15.5 °C in the UML, and <11.5 °C in the BML. In November the UML extended to 92 m at CCS and to 119 m at CS2. In April there was a shallowing of the UML from 65 m on 4th April to 45 m on 25th April at CCS. However, the UML remained at 65 to 70 m at CS2 during April. In July, the UML occurred between 50 and 56 m at both stations.
3.2 Seasonal patterns of chlorophyll-\(a\) and bacterial abundance

The vertical distribution of Chl-\(a\) and BA differed between the two stations. At CCS, surface Chl-\(a\) concentration was higher in November (1.3-1.7 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\)) than in July (0.3 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\)) and the highest concentrations (~3-6 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\)) were found in April with the development of the phytoplankton bloom (Poulton et al. this issue; Hickman et al. this issue).

In general at CCS, the vertical profile of Chl-\(a\) was characterised by a homogenous vertical distribution in November, and development of a subsurface peak above the nitracline (~25 m in April and 45 m in July) and lower concentrations (<2 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\)) at depth in April and July. At CS2, Chl-\(a\) concentrations were <1 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\) in November and July with a well-mixed vertical distribution and were around 1.5 mg Chl-\(a\) m\(^{-3}\) in April with a subsurface peak above the base of the UML coincident with the base of the nitrate+nitrite gradient (Humphreys et al., this issue).

The vertical distribution of bacterial abundance was similar to the Chl-\(a\) distribution at both stations (Fig. 3A, 3G). In general at CCS, BA varied little with depth in November and April in the UML (0.6-0.7 and 1.4 x10\(^6\) cells mL\(^{-1}\), respectively), whereas July was characterised by a BA subsurface maximum (1.3-1.7 x10\(^6\) cells mL\(^{-1}\)) at the base of the UML. Bacterial abundance was similar at CCS and CS2 in November and April, but was higher at CS2 than at CCS in July with concentrations in surface waters of >1.4 x10\(^6\) cells mL\(^{-1}\) and a progressive decrease to 0.4 x10\(^6\) cells mL\(^{-1}\) at the base of the UML.

Depth-integrated bacterial abundance had the highest and lowest values in April (7.2 x10\(^{13}\) and 3.3 x10\(^{13}\) cells m\(^{-2}\)) at CCS, and there was no seasonal variability at CS2 (Fig. 4A).

3.3 Seasonal patterns of microplankton community respiration and bacterial activity
Daily (\( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \)) was positively correlated \((r = 0.62, p < 0.0001, n = 97, \text{Fig. 2})\) with hourly (\( \text{INT}_T \)) rates of microplankton community respiration. However, there were differences in the magnitude of the rates derived from the two methods, with \( \text{INT}_T \) rates greater than \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) in November and April but lower in July (\text{Fig. 3B-C}). These dissimilarities could be due to several reasons. The two methods measure over different time scales (<1.4 - 24 h), so that any reduction in grazing pressure due to enclosure in relatively small bottles, could lead to a greater increase in bacterial abundance over the longer incubation times required for \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) than those for \( \text{INT}_T \). The different time scales might also lead to differences in community structure and therefore respiration. The relationship between paired community respiration measurements (\( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) and \( \text{INT}_T \)) differed between data collected in November and that collected in April and July (\text{Clarke test}, \( p < 0.001; \text{Clarke 1980} \)). There was no statistical difference between the slope of the paired measurements in April and July (\text{Clarke test}, \( p = 0.23 \)) (\text{Fig. 2}). The dissimilarity between the slopes of November \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} : \text{INT}_T \) data and April and July \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} : \text{INT}_T \) data may be caused by the high variability in the low rates measured in November, the small range of \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) and \( \text{INT}_T \) rates measured in November, or the change in plankton community composition with different plankton having different abilities to take up \( \text{INT} \). Due to the low number of data collected in each month, a single \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} : \text{INT}_T \) conversion model was derived from data collected in all three months (see section 2.6) (\text{Fig. 2}).

Differences in the monthly average vertical distribution of \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) corresponded to monthly changes in the vertical distribution of Chl-\( a \) concentrations (\text{Fig. 3B, 3H}). At CCS, higher \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) rates (3.6 and 1.8 \( \mu \text{mol O}_2 \text{L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1} \), in April and July respectively) were measured coincident with the Chl-\( a \) maxima which in April was at ~15 m and in July at ~42 m, while \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) and Chl-\( a \) were homogeneously distributed in November. At CS2, subsurface peaks in \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) occurred in November and April whereas in July \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) gradually decreased from the surface to the base of the UML. The subsurface maxima in \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}_2} \) and \( \text{INT}_T \) in April at CCS
and in July at CS2 were due to an increase in the respiration of the plankton fraction >0.8 µm (Fig. 3D & 3J). Monthly average depth-integrated rates of CR$_{O2}$ varied seasonally by 1.2 to 2.8-fold, with the highest rates in April ($164 \pm 5$ mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) and the lowest in November ($27 \pm 4$ mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) at CCS (Fig. 4B). The seasonal range was smaller at CS2 where the highest depth-integrated rates of CR$_{O2}$ were measured in April ($78 \pm 6$ mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) and the lowest in November ($45 \pm 9$ mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$).

Monthly average rates of heterotrophic bacterial respiration did not show any vertical trend at either of the stations (Fig. 3E & 3K). Depth-integrated INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ was highest and most variable in April (12 - 32 and 14 - 21 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at CCS and CS2, respectively) and lowest in July (7 - 10 and 11 mmol O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ at CCS and CS2, respectively) (Fig. 4C). Cell-specific heterotrophic bacterial respiration was highest in the middle of April ($0.51 \pm 0.05$ and $0.89 \pm 0.11$ fmol O$_2$ cell$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at CCS and CS2, respectively), and lowest in July ($0.14 \pm 0.02$ and $0.23 \pm 0.03$ fmol O$_2$ cell$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at CCS and CS2, respectively) (Table 2), due to a combination of high bacterial numbers and low bacterial respiration. There were no significant differences in cell-specific heterotrophic bacterial respiration between months, stations and the interaction of month and stations (Two-way ANOVA, $p >0.05$).

The monthly average proportion of depth-integrated microplankton community respiration attributable to bacteria (%INT$_{0.2-0.8}$) at CCS was higher (37 ± 4%) in November, than in April (21 ± 5%) or July (19 ± 3%) (Fig. 4D). At CS2, the highest %INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ occurred in April (42 ± 6%) and the lowest in July (27 ± 4%).

Monthly average bacterial production rates were in general higher in sub-surface waters (5 – 20 m) and decreased with depth (Fig. 3F & 3L). The seasonal vertical pattern in BP differed from that of INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ with the highest BP rates occurring in July ($0.4$ and $0.48$ µmol C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at CCS and CS2, respectively) and the lowest in November ($<0.1$ and $<0.08$ µmol C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ at...
CCS and CS2, respectively). Upper mixing layer depth-integrated BP showed no significant differences between stations and the interaction of stations and months (Two-way ANOVA, p >0.05), but significant differences existed between months (p = 0.01) with the highest rates in July and the lowest in November (Fig. 4E). Depth-integrated BP was 2-fold higher at CCS than at CS2 in April, but there was no difference in BP between stations in November or July. Cell-specific BP was significantly different between months (Two-way ANOVA, p = 0.013), but not between stations or the interaction of stations and months (Two-way ANOVA, p >0.05). Monthly average cell-specific BP was higher in July (0.32 ± 0.01 pmol C cell\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) than in November (0.15 ± 0.02 pmol C cell\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) or April (0.22 ± 0.02 pmol C cell\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2).

There was no difference in UML depth-integrated bacterial carbon demand (BCD) (Table 2) between stations and months (Two-way ANOVA, p >0.05). In general, the volumetric BCD was always lower than the amount of dissolved organic carbon produced by phytoplankton as a result of photosynthesis (pDOC) (Fig. 5).

Depth-integrated bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) ranged from 18 % to 71 % (Table 2) with significantly higher (Two-way ANOVA, p <0.05) BGEs in July (average ± SE, 61 ± 5 %) than in November (27 ± 3 %) and April (36 ± 6 %). No significant differences in BGE were found between stations and the interaction of stations and months (Two-way ANOVA, p >0.05).

### 3.4 Plankton metabolism and relationships with environmental and biological data

The correlation matrix of the volumetric variables (Table 3) shows how microplankton community respiration and bacterial production and respiration were related differently to the physicochemical and biological characteristics of the water column. Taking all volumetric
data together, $\text{CR}_2$O$_2$ was positively correlated to total Chl-$a$ concentration, $p\text{DOC}$, bacterial abundance and bacterial production and negatively correlated to nitrate+nitrite and phosphate concentrations. INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ was positively correlated to Chl-$a$, silicate concentrations and bacterial abundance and negatively correlated to temperature. Bacterial production was positively correlated to Chl-$a$, ammonium concentration, bacterial abundance and microplankton community respiration and negatively correlated to nitrate+nitrite, silicate and phosphate concentrations. The negative correlations observed between $\text{CR}_2$O$_2$, BP and nitrate+nitrite are likely caused by the covariation between depth and nitrate+nitrite, as deep waters had higher nitrate+nitrite concentration and lower respiration rates due to lower Chl-$a$ and bacterial abundance. Phytoplankton $p\text{DOC}$, which is an indicator of the amount of substrate (DOC) available to the bacteria, was positively correlated with microplankton community respiration, bacterial production and bacterial abundance. The analysis of the correlation between UML depth-integrated $\text{CR}_2$O$_2$, INT$_{0.2-0.8}$, BP and BGE with the UML depth-integrated dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen (DOC and DON) concentrations showed different trends. $\text{CR}_2$O$_2$, BP and BGE were negatively correlated to DOC ($r = -0.79$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 10$ for $\text{CR}_2$O$_2$; $r = -0.85$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 11$ for BP; and $r = -0.88$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 10$ for BGE) while %INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ was positively correlated ($r = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$, $n = 10$). DON was positively correlated with %INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ ($r = 0.68$, $p = 0.03$, $n = 10$) and negatively correlated with BP ($r = -0.7$, $p = 0.16$, $n = 11$) and BGE ($r = -0.76$, $p = 0.01$, $n = 10$) (Fig. 6).

Ordination analysis of the environmental and metabolic rates provides a better understanding of the relationships between the environmental data and microplankton metabolism during the different months. The analysis was performed separately on the weighted UML depth-integrated microplankton autotrophic ($^{14}\text{C-PP}, p\text{DOC}$) and heterotrophic ($\text{CR}_2$O$_2$, INT$_{>0.8}$, INT$_{0.2-0.8}$, %INT$_{0.2-0.8}$, BP and BGE) metabolic rates. Distance based redundancy models were used to study the relationship between the environmental variables (weighted UML depth-
integrated temperature, nitrate+nitrite, phosphate, silicate, ammonium, DOC and DON concentration, Chl-$a$ and bacterial abundance). Results from this analysis indicated that 56% of the variability in microplankton autotrophic responses and 85% of the variability in microplankton heterotrophic responses could be explained by two axes. The environmental variables that best explained the microplankton autotrophic metabolic rates were a combination of temperature, DON concentration, bacterial abundance and nitrate+nitrite concentration (Fig. 7A). By contrast, Chl-$a$, nitrate+nitrite, silicate, ammonium, DON concentration and bacterial abundance better described the microplankton heterotrophic metabolic rates (Fig. 7B) which accounted for 100% of the fitted model variation. The ordination analysis of the autotrophic metabolic rates separated all April data at CCS from the other sampling days. Within the heterotrophic metabolic rates, three groups could be observed: Group I consists of the majority of the April data (11th, 15th, 20th and 25th April) at CCS, Group II is formed by all July data (CCS and CS2), and Group III consists of November data together with the April data at CS2 and data collected on the 4th April at CCS.

3.5 Carbon cycling in the upper versus bottom mixing layers

Daily rates of microplankton community respiration, heterotrophic bacterial respiration and production in the UML were compared with the corresponding rates in the BML in the different months by paired-$t$ test. Due to the low number of measurements made per month at CS2 ($\leq 2$), statistical tests were only performed on data from CCS. Within month variability in $\text{CR}_{O_2}$, $\text{INT}_{0.2\text{-}0.8}$ and BP was high, especially in April when the phytoplankton bloom developed (Fig. 8). At CCS, $\text{CR}_{O_2}$ in the UML was significantly higher than $\text{CR}_{O_2}$ in the BML ($p < 0.032$) in all months (Fig. 8A).
INT\textsubscript{0.2-0.8} was not significantly different above and below the thermocline (Fig. 8C). In addition, there was no significant difference between UML and BML cell-specific bacterial respiration in any of the months ($p >0.05$), which indicates that lower bacterial numbers in the BML sustained lower bacterial respiration (Fig. 8I). There was also no significant difference between the percentage of microplankton community respiration attributable to bacteria in the UML and BML ($p >0.05$) (Fig. 8E).

BP in the UML was significantly different to that in the BML in November, April and July ($p <0.04$). BP rates were between 3 and 7-fold higher in the UML than in the BML with the greatest difference occurring in July (Fig. 8G). In contrast, cell-specific bacterial production was only significantly different between the two depth layers in April and July ($p = 0.001$, both cases) with 2.5- and 5-fold higher cell-specific bacterial production in the UML than in the BML in April and July, respectively (Fig. 8K).

**DISCUSSION**

**4.1 Central Celtic Sea versus Shelf Edge**

Recent studies in the Celtic Sea have demonstrated differences in the physicochemical properties between the central Celtic Sea and the shelf edge (Sharples 2001, 2009). The shelf edge station (CS2) is characterized by higher turbulent mixing which supports a phytoplankton community dominated by larger cells (> 20 µm), whereas phytoplankton in the central Celtic Sea are dominated by smaller cells (2 – 20 µm) (Sharples 2009, Hickman et al., 2012, this issue). In the present study, water column stratification differed between the CCS station and the shelf edge CS2 station. In April and July, there was a well-defined UML and BML separated by a thin thermocline at CCS while at CS2 the thermal gradient was less distinct and occurred over a broader depth interval (data not shown). There were, therefore,
differences in the depth of the upper mixing layers between the two stations, in the depth of
the Chl-$a$ subsurface maximum (deeper in the CCS than at CS2), which drove changes in the
vertical distribution of microplankton community respiration and bacterial production.

However, these differences in hydrodynamic conditions were not reflected in differences in
UML depth-integrated CR$_{O_2}$, INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ or BP, except in April. In April, the higher increase in
CR$_{O_2}$ at CCS than at CS2 may be related to the different Chl-$a$ concentrations measured at
the two stations (94 ± 15 and 48 ± 11 mg Chl-$a$ m$^{-2}$, respectively). At CCS, thermal
stratification developed as a consequence of the warming of surface waters contributing to
ideal conditions (increase in stability, high nutrient concentrations and solar energy) for
phytoplankton growth leading to the spring bloom (Wihsgott et al., this issue). In contrast, at
CS2, the hydrodynamic conditions did not promote phytoplankton growth and therefore there
was relatively little increase in Chl-$a$ concentration (data not shown), microplankton
community respiration or bacterial production. The higher BP rates at CCS than at CS2 in
April contrast with a previous study in the Celtic Sea in April 1987 where the BP was 2-fold
higher in the mixed water at the shelf edge than in the stratified waters of the continental

The lack of difference in the depth integrated rates between stations may be caused by the
difference in the depth of integration, which was 30 m and 13 m deeper at CS2 than at CCS
in November and April, respectively. In fact, the ordination analysis that compares the
weighted microplankton metabolic rates at the different stations indicated that the plankton
metabolism in April at CS2 was similar to that in November at CCS. The strong internal
waves and internal tidal mixing (Pingree et al. 1983, Sharples et al. 2009), establish
differences not only in the phytoplankton distribution (Sharples et al. 2009) but also in the
microplankton metabolism in the Celtic Sea.
4.2 Carbon metabolism of microplankton communities

Rates of \( \text{CR}_{\text{O}2} \) measured during this seasonal study lie within the range of previous measurements made in the Celtic Sea (Robinson et al. 2009) and North Atlantic shelf seas (Blight et al. 1995, Serret et al. 1999, Arbones et al. 2008) (Supplementary Table 1). Our range of \( \text{INT}_{0.2-0.8} \) (0.03 – 0.85 µmol O\(_2\) L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) corresponds with bacterial respiration rates measured in a seasonal study in the open Mediterranean Sea (Lemée et al. 2002) and lies at the lower end of the rates measured in the North Sea (Reinthaler and Herndl, 2005) and in a seasonal study in the northwest coastal region of the Mediterranean Sea (Alonso-Sáez et al. 2008). Our UML depth-integrated BP is between 8 and 50-fold greater than the euphotic depth-integrated BP measured in the Celtic Sea by Joint and Pomroy (1987) yet is 3-fold lower than BP measured by Davidson et al. (2013) in July 2008 in the area around CCS (49.8°N, 7.8°W). The difference between our measurements and those of Joint and Pomroy (1987) is likely caused by the different methodologies (thymidine uptake versus leucine uptake) used. Bacterial production derived from thymidine and leucine assimilation can be different because the leucine to thymidine incorporation ratio is not constant (Li et al. 1993, Pomroy and Joint 1999). In fact, a leucine and thymidine incorporation study performed in the Oregon coast reported 10-fold differences in the leucine and thymidine incorporation for bacterial cells (Longnecker et al. 2006). This large difference between rates due to different methods complicates direct comparison between our study and that of Joint and Pomroy (1987).

During July the difference between the euphotic layer (considered as the layer between the surface and the depth at which incident irradiance is 1 % of surface irradiance) and the UML in our study ranged between 3 to 4 meters, so the difference in the depth of integration (euphotic depth versus the upper mixing layer depth) is unlikely to be the cause of the discrepancy between Davidson et al. (2013) and our data. In addition, the leucine methodology and the isotope dilution factor were similar for the two studies. Therefore, the
differences in the bacterial production rates between Davidson et al. (2013) and our data may be associated to inter-annual variability.

Our depth-integrated BGE ranged from 18 to 71 %, in line with the range of BGEs compiled by del Giorgio and Cole (1998) and the 3 to 71 % range reported by Sintes et al. (2010) in the North Sea, but higher than the 5 to 28 % range measured previously by Reinthaler and Herndl (2005) in the North Sea. The differences between the former estimates and those in the present study may be due to differing methodologies. Reinthaler and Herndl (2005) and Sintes et al. (2010) estimated bacterial respiration from dissolved oxygen consumption in pre-filtered samples incubated for 24 h, while our estimates are based on INT reduction in incubations lasting <1.4 h. Incubating pre-filtered water samples can lead to overestimates of bacterial respiration (Aranguren-Gassis et al. 2012). Therefore, BGE in the former studies (Lemée et al. 2002, Reinthaler and Herndl 2005, Sintes et al. 2010) may have been underestimated. However, our INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ rates, determined from samples filtered onto 0.2 µm filters, could also be underestimated, due to the loss of bacterial cells less than 0.2 µm in diameter. Bacterial abundance in the 0.2 µm filtered water in July corresponded on average ($n = 7$) to 30 ± 2 % of the BA in the unfiltered sample (data not shown). The percentage of bacteria passing through the 0.2 µm filter in this study is slightly higher than the 2 to 26 % values reported by Gasol and Morán (1999). Thus, assuming a constant cell-specific respiration rate of all 0.2 - 0.8 µm bacteria, the bacterial respiration derived from INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ could be underestimated by ~30 %. Recalculating BCD and BGE, using INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ increased by 30 %, results in an increase on the monthly average BCD of the two stations of 24, 21 and 12 % in November, April and July and a decrease in the monthly average BGE of 19, 17 and 10 %, respectively. Overall, the rates of microplankton and bacterioplankton metabolism measured here are comparable to previous rates measured in North Atlantic shelf seas.
4.3 Seasonal variability

The seasonal changes in environmental conditions occurring in the Celtic Sea were reflected in pronounced seasonality of CR$_{O_2}$ in the UML, with a minimum in November and a maximum in April. The increase in Chl-$_a$ concentration (an indicator of increased phytoplankton abundance) in April was associated with an increase in the respiration of the >0.8 µm size fraction of the plankton community, and thus CR$_{O_2}$. In general, heterotrophic bacterial respiration only contributed 38, 24 and 21 % of the microplankton community respiration in November, April and July, respectively. Despite the increase in the production of organic matter by phytoplankton in April (Fig. 5, Poulton et al. this issue, Mayers et al. this issue), the INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ did not show a corresponding increase. This constancy in rates of heterotrophic bacterial respiration, despite a 3.8 and 1.4-fold greater average phytoplankton DOC production in April than in November and July, respectively, contrasts with previous studies where bacterial respiration was enhanced by organic matter synthesized by phytoplankton during bloom periods (Blight et al. 1995, Alonso-Sáez et al. 2008). This may suggest that heterotrophic bacterial respiration in our study was not controlled by the availability of organic matter but by limiting concentrations of inorganic nitrogen or phosphorus (Rivkin and Anderson 1997, Kirchman 2000). However, since there was an increase in bacterial abundance and bacterial production, it seems that bacterial activity was not limited by inorganic nutrients.

BP showed a seasonal trend, with the highest rates occurring at the end of April and in July and the lowest rates occurring in November. Therefore, bacteria appear to use the newly produced dissolved organic matter to produce more bacterial biomass while maintaining low respiration levels in April and July. Another explanation for the lack of a seasonal trend in bacterial respiration might be related to a seasonal change in bacterial community composition (Gilbert et al. 2009, 2012, Tarran et al. this issue) between bacterial groups with
different specific respiration rates (del Giorgio and Gasol 2008) and differing ability to take up INT. The INT reduction technique has been used for microplankton organisms (Martínez-García et al. 2009), but a comprehensive suite of culture experiments confirming that all representative groups of bacterioplankton can equally take up and reduce INT has not yet taken place. Such experiments are required to confirm that INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ does not underestimate bacterial respiration when particular bacterioplankton groups, which are less able to take up INT, are dominant.

Seasonal variability in CR$_{O2}$ and BP has been previously observed in coastal systems (Blight et al. 1995, Griffith and Pomroy 1995, Serret et al. 1999, Alonso-Sáez et al. 2008, Arbones et al. 2008, Céa et al. 2014). Highest CR$_{O2}$ rates in the present study coincided with maximum values of primary production determined by radiolabelled bicarbonate uptake ($^{14}$C-PP) (Fig. 9), and these two indicators of plankton metabolism were positively correlated ($r = 0.47$, $p <0.0001$, $n = 72$). These observations are in agreement with previous seasonal studies where the highest respiration rates were measured during the time of highest phytoplankton abundance (Blight et al. 1995, Serret et al. 1999, Maixandeau et al. 2005, Arbones et al. 2008). However, minimum CR$_{O2}$ values were measured in November in the Celtic Sea, despite the Chl-$a$ concentrations being higher in November (average ± SE, 1.29 ± 0.05 µg Chl-$a$ L$^{-1}$) than in July (0.66 ± 0.11 µg Chl-$a$ L$^{-1}$). Zooplankton abundance may influence the seasonal differences in plankton community respiration (Joint et al. 2001) as intermediate CR$_{O2}$ values were measured in July when Chl-$a$ was lowest, but mesozooplankton (>200 µm) and nauplii abundance was high (Tarran et al. this issue, Giering et al. this issue). The low Chl-$a$ values combined with the low %INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ found in July support our suggestion that zooplankton had a higher contribution to CR$_{O2}$ in July, leading to high CR$_{O2}$ rates. Interestingly, the relationship between $^{14}$C-PP and CR$_{O2}$ showed distinctive patterns in April and July (Fig. 9). The linear regression slope between $^{14}$C-PP and CR$_{O2}$ was higher in July.
(0.78 ± 0.12), and statistically indistinguishable from unity (Clarke test, $t = 1.4$, $df = 35$, $p = 0.17$), while in April the slope was lower (0.15 ± 0.02) and statistically different from unity (Clarke test, $t = 16$, $df = 70$, $p < 0.0001$). The difference in the $^{14}$C-PP:CR$_{0.2}$ ratio indicates that the system was in balance during July, and acted as a sink of CO$_2$ and source of organic matter in April, with this surplus of organic matter consumed by bacteria and/or zooplankton, or horizontally and vertically transported.

The seasonal variability in BCD and BGE was driven by changes in BP, which increased 2-fold from November to July, and in INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ which decreased 2-fold from November and April to July. The published seasonal studies which measured BGEs in temperate coastal regions all showed seasonal variability (Lemée et al. 2002, Reintasher and Herndl 2005, Vázquez-Domínguez et al. 2007, Alonso-Sáez et al. 2008, Sintes et al. 2010, Céa et al. 2014), but there is no single environmental variable which consistently drives the variability in BGE. On the one hand, several researchers found that the seasonal variability in BGE was driven by changes in bacterial respiration (Sherry et al. 1999, Lemée et al. 2002, Vázquez-Domínguez et al. 2007). Whereas, other researchers concluded that bacterial production influenced the changes in BGEs (del Giorgio and Cole 2000, Reintasher and Herndl 2005,). The present study shows that the variability in both BP and INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ determined the variability of BGE and that the two variables have different influences depending on the month (BP was the dominant influence in November and April, while both BP and INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ drove the changes in July). However, this does not reveal which environmental conditions drive the changes in BP and INT$_{0.2-0.8}$, and therefore BGE. Production of dissolved organic carbon by phytoplankton did not control the changes in BGE and the relationships between environmental conditions (i.e. temperature and nutrient concentrations) and BGE were different in November, April and July. Therefore, a combination of several factors which may act simultaneously, and may be different during different months, influenced BGE. Ordination analysis showed that
different environmental parameters were influencing the autotrophic and the heterotrophic
metabolic rates differently during the three months. In April, microplankton heterotrophic
metabolism at CCS was related to a decrease in nitrate+nitrite and increase in Chl-α
concentration, while in July microplankton heterotrophic metabolism was related to an
increase in ammonium and bacterial abundance.

4.4 Consumption of phytoplankton produced dissolved organic carbon by bacteria

Previous studies show that during productive periods bacterial carbon requirements are
sustained by concurrent phytoplankton DOC production, while external DOC inputs are
required to fulfil the BCD during unproductive times (La Ferla et al. 2006). In contrast to
these results, in the present study DOC production derived from phytoplankton
photosynthesis was always higher than BCD, irrespective of the time of year (Fig. 5). Even if
we consider that our BCD calculations are underestimated (see above) and we recalculate the
BCD with an increase of 30% in heterotrophic bacterial respiration, the pDOC was still
greater than the recalculated BCD for all concurrent data. The pDOC:BCD > 1 suggests that
bacterial metabolism was not limited by resources, as there was always sufficient DOC
produced by phytoplankton to satisfy the bacterial requirements. Therefore phytoplankton
and bacterial metabolism were coupled, considering “coupling” to be the capacity of
phytoplankton to produce enough dissolved primary production (dPP) to meet the BCD
(Morán et al. 2002). However, the magnitude of bacterial carbon demand was not dependent
on the amount of organic carbon produced by phytoplankton, as shown by the lack of
relationship between pDOC and BCD within each month (Fig. 5). Morán et al. (2002)
investigated the relationship between BCD and production of dissolved organic carbon in
different ecosystems (Antarctic offshore, Antarctic coastal, NE Atlantic NW Mediterranean),
calculating BCD from bacterial production data collected in situ and assuming a constant BGE of 7.1, 15 and 30 %. They concluded that the “**BCD would on average always exceed dissolved primary production in the NE Atlantic, unless unrealistically high BGEs were used**”. Contrary to their conclusion, our BCD values were always lower than the \( p_{DOC} \) (considered as dissolved primary production) at a broad range of BGE values (18 - 71 %) suggesting a good coupling between bacteria and phytoplankton.

4.5 Upper mixing layer versus bottom mixing layer

Light, nutrients, phytoplankton biomass, and community structure may have a major control on microplankton metabolism in the UML and BML. In general, the BML was characterized by low light intensities (<0.1 % of the \( I_0 \)), lower temperatures and higher nutrient concentrations. The temperature difference between the two layers was <1 °C in November and April and ~ 2.5 °C in July. Bacterial metabolism is positively related to temperature (Kirchman et al. 2005, Vázquez-Domínguez et al. 2007, Kritzberg et al. 2010). However, we found similar cell-specific bacterial respiration rates in the UML and BML, no relationship between temperature and BP, and furthermore the ordination analysis did not select temperature as a major variable separating microbial heterotrophic metabolic rates (Fig. 7B). Similar concentrations of DOC and DON were measured in the UML and BML (Davis et al. this issue), except in July when DOC and DON were lower in the BML than in the UML. Therefore, the composition of the organic matter (C:N ratio) was not a major influence on the differences observed in microplankton metabolism.

\( CR_{O2} \) and BP were higher in the UML than in the BML (4-fold and 7-fold, respectively) presumably as a result of the larger amount of phytoplankton and bacteria in the UML than the BML. However, \( INT_{0.2-0.8} \) and cell-specific bacterial respiration were similar in both
layers. It seems that the interactions between phytoplankton and bacteria were favouring
bacterial production in the UML, in contrast to the low bacterial production in the BML.
Release of DOC from phytoplankton is one of several interactions existing between
phytoplankton and bacteria (Cole 1982, Amin et al. 2012). The organic carbon released by
phytoplankton has been shown to be used as a substrate for bacteria (Cole 1982, Baines and
Pace 1991, Morán et al. 2002), enhancing bacterial respiration and bacterial production. In
our study, the DOC produced by phytoplankton only stimulated the bacterial production, as
there was no correlation between the INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ and $p$DOC. The use of organic compounds
only for growth rather than respiration could be considered a survival response. For example,
in April, when the inorganic nutrients start to decline due to phytoplankton uptake, and the
direct competitors for nutrients (phytoplankton) are increasing in number, bacteria in the
UML could have used the $p$DOC to increase their production at similar respiration rates.
Overall, our results contrast with a previous study in the North Sea, where they reported a
separation in the water column of consumption of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC; primary
production), which occurred in the surface layers, from DIC production (respiration) which
occurred in the bottom mixed waters (Thomas et al. 2004). In this former study, the
enhancement of respiration processes below the mixing layer during a stratified period
increased the transport of CO$_2$ from the shelf sea to the open ocean (Thomas et al. 2004). In
contrast to Thomas et al. (2004), our results suggest that most of the respiratory CO$_2$
production occurred in the upper mixing layers of the water column, contributing to the CO$_2$
available for evasion to the atmosphere rather than export to the open sea.

CONCLUSION
Pronounced seasonal variability was observed, with higher rates of microplankton community respiration at the end of April, highest rates of bacterial production and bacterial growth efficiency in July, and lowest rates of CR$_{O2}$, BP and BGE in November. The relationship between microplankton community respiration and primary production differed between seasons, with $^{14}$C-PP > CR$_{O2}$ in April as a result of the phytoplankton bloom and $^{14}$C-PP ~ CR$_{O2}$ during July, due to the combination of lower $^{14}$C-PP and higher CR$_{O2}$.

Autotrophic and heterotrophic metabolic rates were driven by different environmental factors (temperature, nitrate+nitrite, DON and BA for the autotrophic metabolic rates, and nitrate+nitrite, DON, silicate, Chl-α, BA and ammonium for the heterotrophic metabolic rates) with different importance in the different months. Comparison of the upper mixing layer with the bottom mixing layer indicated a greater variability in community respiration and bacterial production in the UML despite similar concentrations of DOC and DON. However, bacterial respiration was similar in both layers. This constancy in the bacterial respiration rates might be explained by a lack of dependency of bacterial respiration on the production of dissolved organic carbon or / and by a difference in bacterial community composition. Our data clearly demonstrate that bacterial growth efficiency varies with season and depth as a response to the greater variability in bacterial production than respiration. Inclusion of this variability in BGE in future studies or model simulations is necessary for realistic carbon budget calculations as estimates of the production of CO$_2$ by bacteria derived using a constant BGE could incur significant biases.
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Table 1. Average surface ± standard error environmental conditions and the depth of the base of the thermocline at the Central Celtic Sea (CCS) and Shelf Edge (CS2) stations in November 2014, April 2015 and July 2015. * indicates there was only one datum for the analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>November 2014</th>
<th></th>
<th>April 2015</th>
<th></th>
<th>July 2015</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>CS2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST (°C)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.18</td>
<td>14.01 ± 0.13</td>
<td>10.49 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.5 ± 0.15</td>
<td>16.46 ± 0.22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>35.39 ± 0.01</td>
<td>35.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>35.33 ± 0.01</td>
<td>35.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>35.42 ± 0.02</td>
<td>35.54 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate+nitrite (µM)</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.14</td>
<td>3.03 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.19 ± 0.95</td>
<td>7.16 ± 1.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium (µM)</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>9.09 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphate (µM)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicate (µM)</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.55 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.73 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll-a (µg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.92</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterial abundance (x10⁶ cells mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermocline (m)</td>
<td>75 ± 7</td>
<td>114 ± 5</td>
<td>54 ± 4</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
<td>53 ± 2</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates only one datum for the analysis.
Table 2. Upper mixing layer depth integrated bacterial carbon demand (BCD), bacterial growth efficiency (BGE), cell-specific bacterial respiration (INT$_{0.2-0.8}$) and cell-specific bacterial production (BP) ± standard errors during November, April and July at CCS and CS2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>BCD (mg C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>BGE (%)</th>
<th>INT$_{0.2-0.8}$ (fmol O$_2$ cell$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>cell-specific BP (fmol C cell$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>10/11/2014</td>
<td>23.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>33.2 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12/11/2014</td>
<td>23.7 ± 2</td>
<td>18.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22/11/2014</td>
<td>24.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>34.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/11/2014</td>
<td>27.8 ± 2.2</td>
<td>24.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>18/11/2014</td>
<td>23.6 ± 3.3</td>
<td>22.9 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>04/04/2015</td>
<td>30.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>24.9 ± 4.7</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>06/04/2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11/04/2015</td>
<td>24.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>50.5 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15/04/2015</td>
<td>44.5 ± 2.9</td>
<td>28.7 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20/04/2015</td>
<td>32.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>48 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25/04/2015</td>
<td>27 ± 0.8</td>
<td>45.8 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>10/04/2015</td>
<td>25.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>18.1 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24/04/2015</td>
<td>21.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>35.7 ± 9</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCS</td>
<td>14/07/2015</td>
<td>25.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>62.5 ± 4.8</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24/07/2015</td>
<td>23.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>70.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29/07/2015</td>
<td>21.4 ± 1.5</td>
<td>55.6 ± 3.9</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>19/07/2015</td>
<td>24.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>57.3 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Spearman correlation matrix between volumetric bacterial abundance (BA), microplankton community respiration (CR$_{02}$, and INT$_T$), bacterial respiration (INT$_{0.2-0.8}$), bacterial production (BP), bacterial carbon demand (BCD) and bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) with environmental parameters (temperature, T; chlorophyll-$a$, Chl-$a$; nitrate+nitrite, ammonium, silicate and phosphate concentration and phytoplankton DOC production, $p$DOC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Chl-$a$</th>
<th>Nitrate+nitrite</th>
<th>Ammonium</th>
<th>Silicate</th>
<th>Phosphate</th>
<th>$p$DOC</th>
<th>CR$_{02}$</th>
<th>INT$_T$</th>
<th>INT$_{0.2-0.8}$</th>
<th>%INT$_{0.2-0.8}$</th>
<th>BP</th>
<th>BCD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>-0.57**</td>
<td>0.38**</td>
<td>-0.42***</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>-0.32**</td>
<td>0.49**</td>
<td>0.48***</td>
<td>0.72***</td>
<td>0.28**</td>
<td>-0.47***</td>
<td>0.67***</td>
<td>0.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR$_{02}$</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>-0.32**</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-0.40***</td>
<td>0.53***</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62***</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.48***</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT$_T$</td>
<td>-0.36***</td>
<td>0.54***</td>
<td>-0.39***</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.26*</td>
<td>0.64***</td>
<td>0.62***</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td>-0.45***</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.79***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT$_{0.2-0.8}$</td>
<td>-0.42***</td>
<td>0.38***</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.21*</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%INT$_{0.2-0.8}$</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.31***</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>-0.62**</td>
<td>-0.48**</td>
<td>-0.45**</td>
<td>0.40**</td>
<td>-0.51***</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>-0.68***</td>
<td>0.35***</td>
<td>-0.48***</td>
<td>-0.69***</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
<td>0.63***</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.51***</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.70***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCD</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.42***</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.36***</td>
<td>0.47**</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
<td>0.79***</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.70***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>0.37***</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.53***</td>
<td>0.30***</td>
<td>-0.51***</td>
<td>-0.64**</td>
<td>0.43**</td>
<td>0.52***</td>
<td>0.22*</td>
<td>-0.48**</td>
<td>-0.75***</td>
<td>0.75***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Time course of the temperature vertical distribution in the upper 130 m at CCS and CS2 during November 2014, April 2015 and July 2015. Black dots represent the depths where water was collected for measurement of plankton metabolic rates and the dotted white line is the base of the thermocline considered to be the base of the upper mixing layer.

Figure 2. Paired measurements of log-transformed microplankton community respiration derived from 24h oxygen consumption (CR_{O2}) and <1.5 h INT reduction rates (INT_{T}) determined from samples collected at CCS and CS2. The different colours correspond to the different months sampled: November in blue, April in green and July in orange. The dashed line corresponds to the ordinary least-squares linear relationship. The statistical Spearman correlation analysis is shown.

Figure 3. Vertical profiles of the monthly average bacterial abundance (BA), microplankton community respiration (CR_{O2} and INT_{T}), respiration of the plankton fraction >0.8 μm (INT_{>0.8}), bacterial respiration (INT_{0.2-0.8}) and bacterial production (BP) at CCS (A, B, C, D, E, F) and CS2 (G, H, I, J, K, L) in November 2014 (blue), April 2015 (green) and July 2015 (orange). Error bars represent the standard error of the averages.

Figure 4. Upper mixing layer depth-integrated bacterial abundance (BA), microplankton community respiration (CR_{O2}), bacterial respiration (INT_{0.2-0.8}), proportion of plankton community respiration attributable to bacteria (%INT_{0.2-0.8}) and bacterial production (BP) at CCS (solid circles) and CS2 (open circles) during November 2014 (blue), April 2015 (green) and July 2015 (orange). Error bars represent the standard error.

Figure 5. Volumetric bacterial carbon demand (BCD) versus dissolved organic carbon produced as a result of phytoplankton photosynthesis (pDOC) during November 2014 (blue), April 2015 (green) and July 2015 (orange). The straight line is the 1:1 line.
Figure 6. Relationship between depth-integrated microplankton community respiration (CR\textsubscript{O2}), bacterial production (BP), bacterial respiration (\text{INT}_{0.2-0.8}), contribution of bacteria to microplankton respiration (\%\text{INT}_{0.2-0.8}) and bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) with dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrogen (DON).

Figure 7. Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) of the linear model describing the relationships between environmental variables (temperature, T; nitrate+nitrite concentration; silicate concentration; ammonium concentration, bacterial abundance, BA; chlorophyll-\textit{a}, Chl-\textit{a}; dissolved organic nitrogen, DON) and (A) autotrophic metabolic rates (primary production and production of dissolved organic carbon) and (B) heterotrophic metabolic rates (daily microbial respiration, respiration of the >0.8 μm size fraction, bacterial respiration, bacterial production and bacterial growth efficiencies). Sampling days at CCS are represented by triangles and at CS2 by circles in November (blue), April (green) and July (orange). Significant environmental variables explaining the variability of the ordination (best selection procedure) are represented by the lines.

Figure 8. Weighted average (depth-integrated rate divided by the depth of integration) microplankton community respiration (CR\textsubscript{O2}), bacterial respiration (\text{INT}_{0.2-0.8}), percentage microplankton community respiration attributable to bacteria (\%\text{INT}_{0.2-0.8}), bacterial production (BP), cell-specific bacterial respiration and cell-specific bacterial production in the upper mixing layer (UML, solid circles) and bottom mixing layer (BML, open circles) at CCS (A, C, E, G, I and K) and CS2 (B, D, F, H, J, L) in November, April and July.

Figure 9. Daily microplankton community respiration (CR\textsubscript{O2}) versus primary production determined from radiolabelled bicarbonate uptake after a 6-8 h incubation (\textsuperscript{14}C-PP) in November 2014 (blue), April 2015 (green) and July 2015 (orange) (A). (B) Zoom of the
dotted area in (A) with November and April data only. Error bar represents the standard error and the solid line is the 1:1 line.
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Supplementary Table 1. Volumetric and depth-integrated rates of microplankton community respiration, (CR); bacterial production, (BP), bacterial respiration, (BR); bacterial carbon demand, (BCD); and bacterial growth efficiency, (BGE) in temperate Shelf Seas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Publication</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blight et al. 1995</td>
<td>Liverpool Bay</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serret et al. 1999</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>1 - 9</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Céa et al. 2014</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0 - 6.46</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serret et al. 1999</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>10 - 180</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbones et al. 2008</td>
<td>Ría de Vigo</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbones et al. 2008</td>
<td>Ría de Vigo</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson 2009</td>
<td>Ría de Vigo</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>17 - 73</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Saez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.4 - 5.8</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinthaler &amp; Herndl 2005</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.2 - 7</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemee et al. 2002</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.05 - 2</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>0.1 - 1.6</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>0.1 - 7.9</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>0.1 - 3.0</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated CR</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>27 - 164</td>
<td>mmol O₂ m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Saez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.4 - 5.8</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinthaler &amp; Herndl 2005</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.2 - 7</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemee et al. 2002</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.6 - 3</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Saez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>&lt; 1 - 6</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinthaler &amp; Herndl 2005</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.1 - 24</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Céa et al. 2014</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0 - 4.8</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint &amp; pomeroy 1987</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BP</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>&lt;0.2 - 0.4</td>
<td>mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin-Jezequel &amp; Videau 1992</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>2 - 25</td>
<td>mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson et al. 2013</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>420 - 700</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidson et al. 2013</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>420 - 700</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemee et al. 2002</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.6 - 3</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Saez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>&lt; 1 - 6</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinthaler &amp; Herndl 2005</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0.1 - 24</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Céa et al. 2014</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>0 - 4.8</td>
<td>mmol C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>100 - 150</td>
<td>mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>100 - 130</td>
<td>mg C m⁻³ d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint &amp; pomeroy 1987</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>281 - 333</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint &amp; pomeroy 1987</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>261 - 534</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>257 - 306</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>281 - 333</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>261 - 534</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint et al. 2001</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>integrated BCD</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>257 - 306</td>
<td>mg C m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintes et al. 2010</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>3 - 71</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinthaler &amp; Herndl 2005</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>5 - 28</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemee et al. 2002</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>5 - 45</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Céa et al. 2014</td>
<td>Mediterranean</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>1 - 37</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso-Saez et al. 2008</td>
<td>Bay of Biscay</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td>2 - 30</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al. 2002</td>
<td>North Sea</td>
<td>BGE</td>
<td>June-July</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>18 - 33</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>April</td>
<td>18 - 51</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Celtic Sea</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>56 - 71</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>