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The post-larval stages of Ammodytes species captured in the
young fish trawl and small-meshed nets during the cruises of s.s.
Oithona in the year 1919 were separable into two well-defined series,
A and B respectively. Details of the hauls of the trawl and nets with
dates and localities are to be found on pages 210–212 of Mr. R. S. Clark’s
account of the cruises in the present volume.

Considering the adult forms of Ammodytes known to occur in Plymouth
waters, it is observed that Ammodytes lanceolatus, Lesauvage, and
Ammodytes tobianus, L. are frequently met with, but no record is to
hand at present of the occurrence of Ammodytes cicerellus, Rafin., although
the latter has been taken frequently in the Atlantic. In regard to the
larvae and post-larvae, none comparable to those assigned to A. cicerellus
by Louis Fage (1, p. 17) have been described previously as occurring in
this district, nor have such forms revealed themselves in the material
under present consideration.

In endeavouring to identify the post-larvae of any species, a study
of the characters of the adults is often of great help, but in the case of
Ammodytes species the only features of the adult which seem to be
of value in this respect are the number of vertebrae and the relative
length of the head to the total length of the body, and even so these
characters, on account of the difficulty of determining them, are not
so helpful as they would appear to be. Other characters have therefore
to be sought for, and the following are those by which Series A and B
have been distinguished:

(A) Length of the upper jaw in relation to the diameter of the eye.
(B) Presence or absence of teeth-like structures in the upper jaw.
(C) Pigmentation.
(D) Number of vertebrae.
SERIES A.

(A) Length of Upper Jaw in Relation to the Diameter of the Eye.

Table I gives the proportion of these characters for 51 individuals, and it will be seen that as the length increases the ratio \( \frac{d}{e} \) increases for lengths up to 23 mm. at least. A comparison with \( \frac{d}{e} \) for Series B (see p. 245) will show the significance of this character in distinguishing the two series.

Table I.

Length of Upper Jaw in Relation to the Diameter of the Eye in Series A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of fish in mm. groups</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>( \frac{\text{Length of upper jaw}}{\text{Diameter of eye}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Teeth-like Structures in Upper Jaw.

In every specimen of this series examined, with one possible exception of 5 mm. in length, thorn-like teeth structures are present in the upper jaw which are easily visible under a low power of the microscope. Even in the possible exception referred to there is an indication of one tooth, but not conclusive enough for a definite assertion to be made. It is the only specimen of this length available for examination.

(C) Pigmentation.

The black pigment situated on the extreme dorsal surface of the body is of great use in distinguishing the individuals of this series. From the shortest length up to 20 mm. on average, with an occasional exception up to 22 mm., it is restricted to two black stellate chromatophores which are strikingly persistent just in front of the root of the tail on the dorsal surface of the body. No other black pigment is present between these two chromatophores and the neck. Beyond 20 mm. on average, however, other black chromatophores make their appearance at the base of the rays of the dorsal fin, commencing posteriorly, until at 27 mm. a more or less continuous row is present which extends forward from the root of the tail to just in front of the anus.

At about 10 mm. in length a row of black chromatophores commences at the posterior end above the dorsal surface of the vertebral column and beneath the two dorsal chromatophores referred to above, and gradually extends forward. This row is quite distinct from the subsequent one which commences at 20 mm.

Fig. 1 illustrates the general pigment scheme of a post-larva of 12·0 mm.

(D) The Number of Vertebræ.

In this series the vertebrae total 68 or 69.

SERIES B.

(A) Length of Upper Jaw in Relation to the Diameter of the Eye.

Table II gives the proportion of these characters for 17 individuals of the available material in which the measurements were obtainable. It is seen that the ratio ($\frac{D}{L}$) is much smaller than in Series A. It has been found in one or two cases that the diameter of the eye actually exceeds the length of the upper jaw.

Owing to the lack of individuals of the longer lengths in the material some larger ones of a similar type to those of Series B from material collected in previous years were examined, and it was found that the ratio ($\frac{D}{L}$) never led to any confusion with Series A, being always smaller for corresponding lengths. ($\frac{D}{L}$ at 29 mm. = 1·5)
TABLE II.

LENGTH OF UPPER JAW IN RELATION TO THE DIAMETER OF THE EYE IN SERIES B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Fish in mm. groups</th>
<th>Number of specimens</th>
<th>Length of upper jaw = ((\frac{j}{o}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) TEETH-LIKE STRUCTURES IN UPPER JAW.

No individual of this series showed any signs of the presence of the conspicuous teeth so characteristic of Series A, nor have I been able to observe them in individuals from the material of the previous years of the same type as Series B, although the individuals of same type as Series A showed the teeth quite clearly.

(C) PIGMENTATION.

In specimens of the shorter lengths the black pigment dorsally consists of chromatophores along the base of the dorsal fin in the posterior region. It is always well marked, and rapidly assumes the form of a continuous row of chromatophores along the base of the dorsal fin. At between 9 and 10 mm., in length this row has extended forward completely to the neck.

At about 9 mm., an additional row appears above the dorsal surface of the vertebral column similar to that described in specimens of Series A.

In general, individuals of Series B seem to be pigmented much more heavily than those of Series A. (cf. Figs. 1 and 2).

(D) THE NUMBER OF VERTEBRÆ.

Difficulty has been experienced in this determination owing to the small size of the available specimens, but in the largest of Series B 65 vertebrae have been counted.

Considering Series A and B together, two other features present themselves which are quite useful in demonstrating further differences,
although they are not so serviceable in practical identification as the ones already utilised:

(1) The individuals of Series B appear to have their body structures such as tail and fin rays developed at shorter lengths than those of Series A.

(2) In the older stages from say 11 mm. upwards, the head of individuals of Series A is longer relative to the length of the body than in those of Series B, but this difference is not so obvious in younger specimens.

On comparing the foregoing descriptions with those of the known investigators of Ammodytes species there seems no doubt that Series A and B are respectively *A. lanceolatus* and *A. tobianus*, and in support of this conclusion each of the distinguishing characters may be considered in turn to show to what degree the observations of Series A and B agree with or differ from the descriptions of these said investigators:

(1) **Length of Upper Jaw in Relation to the Diameter of the Eye.**

Ehrenbaum (2, p. 190) remarks on the relatively longer upper jaw in *A. lanceolatus* than in *A. tobianus* in relation to the diameter of the eye at lengths exceeding 15 mm., whereas in Series A the upper jaw is relatively longer at all observed lengths than in the corresponding lengths of Series B.

(2) **Teeth-like Structures in Upper Jaw.**

I have not been able to find any reference in available literature to the previous observation of the presence in *A. lanceolatus* and absence in *A. tobianus* of these structures, and this has caused me no little surprise in view of the celebrated observers who have studied these species. They are so clearly defined in the specimens of *A. lanceolatus* that I have examined, and equally indistinguishable in those of *A. tobianus*, that they must be pointed out as a very distinctive character.

(3) **Pigmentation.**

Both Ehrenbaum (3, p. 300 and 2, p. 189, Taf. VII, Figs. 70 and 71) and Louis Fage (1, p. 20) have emphasized the fact of the presence of the characteristic two black chromatophores on the dorsal surface of the body in *A. lanceolatus*. Ehrenbaum, however, has figured a post-larva of 12·5 mm. (2, Taf. VII, Fig. 72) which has a continuous row of black
pigment spots along the dorsal surface. This would appear to be different from the corresponding stage of Series A, but it is interesting to note, however, that Fage (1, p. 20) describes his corresponding specimens of *A. lanceolatus* as being comparable with this same figure of Ehrenbaum, with the exception that in each the dorsal pigment of the body is reduced to two precaudal chromatophores which are only visible in the youngest stages. It will be observed that the pigmentation scheme of Ehrenbaum’s post-larva is very similar to that of Series B, and I would suggest that the specimen may be *A. tobianus* and not *A. lanceolatus*.

In regard to *A. tobianus*, the descriptions and figures given by Dantan (4, p. lxxv, and Fig. 3) agree very well with the corresponding stages of Series B. Fage (1, p. 21) also gives a description of an individual of 14 mm. which has a double uninterrupted row of brown pigment spots extending dorsally from the neck to the root of the tail—a similar feature to that presented in Series B. Dannevig (5, p. 29, Pl. III, Figs. 23 and 24) gives figures of *A. tobianus* which agree fairly well with Series B, although the pigment is not shown very clearly in the reproduction.

In regard to Ehrenbaum’s description of the earlier stages of *A. tobianus* (3, pp. 298, 299) it will be noticed that the pigmentation is not described as of such heavy intensity as has been pointed out in Series B. In the latter, throughout the material all the individuals present a more intense pigment scheme than in Series A.

(4) **The Number of Vertebrae.**

The numbers observed in Series A and Series B are in agreement with those obtained by other workers, except that in Series B no individual was obtained with less than 65 vertebrae, a result no doubt due to the small number of specimens available for examination.

(5) **Length of Head in Relation to Body Length.**

Ehrenbaum (2, p. 190) remarks on the relatively longer head in *A. lanceolatus*. This has been pointed out in the case of the larger specimens of Series A.

In conclusion it is of interest to notice that the material from which the above observations have been made was obtained during the summer months, thus giving evidence that *Ammodites tobianus* spawns in the Plymouth waters during this season of the year. It is not proposed, however, to discuss in this account the extent of the spawning period or periods of this species.
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